Review Form 2

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2102
Title of the Manuscript:	COMMUNITY POLICING FORUMS AND BOARDS FOSTER COMMUNICATION AND TRUST BETWEEN THE POLICE AND COMMUNITIES IN SOUTH AFRICA
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is relevant and of a high priority. The manuscript has a potential to foster the discussion on communication and trust between the police and communities in South Africa and in the wider world as the manuscript presents the practical aspects of this issue.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract present neither a research methodology nor methods of analysis.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is chaotic due to the lack of research methodology. The presence of research methodology could help to structure the manuscript in a logical manner.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript does not look like a piece of scientific work due to the lack of research methodology as well as methods os investigation and analysis. Leveraging the research methodology and methods of analysis could help develop a linchpin in this work. Consequently, the presented manuscript is not scientifically robust and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not cited in the text. The number of refences has to be significantly increased.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 2 (08-07-2024)

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is ok for scholarly communications
Optional/General comments	Comparison of the situation in South Africa and Indonesia as well as USA is beneficial.

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	white me ner results in the results

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jelena Zascerinska
Department, University & Country	Centre for Education and Innovation Research, Latvia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 2 (08-07-2024)