

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2102
Title of the Manuscript:	COMMUNITY POLICING FORUMS AND BOARDS FOSTER COMMUNICATION AND TRUST BETWEEN THE POLICE AND COMMUNITIES IN SOUTH AFRICA
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There is no literature review section that links the actions and thoughts of researcher.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	“ Community Policing Forums and Boards a Tool for building Trust between the Police and Communities in South Africa.”	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract did not include the methodology employed, findings and recommendations.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The conclusions are incomplete as it does not explain the result in a correct way or in summary. The conclusions must follow the logic of the abstract, explaining the evidence and the usefulness of the research. The implications and limitations sections of the research are missing.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The paper has no bibliography, or proper flow of data and scientific thought. The methodology must be backed up by the literature both in terms of theory and economic thinking and in terms of reliability of the methodology.</p> <p>The conclusions are incomplete as it does not explain the result in a correct way or in summary. The conclusions must follow the logic of the abstract, explaining the evidence and the usefulness of the research. The implications and limitations sections of the research are missing.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The bibliography used is lacking. There are not enough bibliographic references to support the correctness of the researchers' thinking.	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English needs to be refined.	
Optional/General comments	The research has prospects and the analysis looks interesting. However, it does not link the literature to the analysis. It is impossible to know whether the analysis follows the correct scholarly thinking. At the same time, there are no in-text-citation or bibliographic overview.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joseph Kofi Nkuah
Department, University & Country	Christian Service University, Ghana