

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Science and Technology: Recent Updates and Future Prospects
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2365
Title of the Manuscript:	The Design of Low-Power High-Speed Two-Level Three input XOR gate
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The exclusive OR gate is a fundamental building blocks which are mostly used in almost all the arithmetic circuits.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The summary section needs to be corrected and rewritten. The sentences are very long and in terms of writing, it is not worthy.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	-	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are all old and outdated.	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The text needs spelling and literary correction. Referencing is not good.	
Optional/General comments	The text of the article needs correction. The figures are not of good quality. Many formulas have been taken from other articles and have not been cited. The citation is not correct. It needs a major overhaul.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amir Baghi Rahin
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran