

Review Form2

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2578
Title of the Manuscript:	Resistance to Lutheran missionary activities through antagonism, traditional beliefs, customs and practices: The case of the Bapedi tribe in Limpopo province, South Africa
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	On the manuscript preparation, the abstract, keywords, research methodology, research objective, literature review, in-text citations, conclusion and references used are good. Other than that please refer the manuscript submission guide to help authors preparing this article according to the book format.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Accepted to publish. Please revise the abstract into 1 paragraph only.	

Review Form2

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uqbah Iqbal
Department, University & Country	Universiti Kebangsaan, Malaysia