

CRITICAL DIMENSIONS OF STAKEHOLDERS' ENGAGEMENT IN EDUCATIONAL POLICY IMPLEMENTATION IN CAMEROON HIGHER EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS

ABSTRACT

Policies are living documents that require various inputs to assist in fulfilling their goals, and as such attention to policy issues does not end at the creation of the policy. Its implementation is increasingly a multi-sectored endeavour and a successful policy process requires democratic public participation, wherein the national sphere, different stakeholders get involved in order to reduce the political pressure on the government and thus achieve its objectives. Yet, this engagement might not continue during the policy implementation stage. Thus, it is crucial to consider the dimensions of stakeholder engagement in policy implementation. The purpose of this paper is to critically examine the dimensions of stakeholders' engagement in policy implementation and the factors associated with implementation failure due to this gap. Some practical strategies are suggested to overcome implementation performance (way forward) and conclude with the proposition that implementation failure is partly due to a lack of stakeholders' engagement.

KEYWORDS

Policy, Policy Implementation, stakeholder, stakeholders' engagement.

UNDL

INTRODUCTION

As policy implementation seems to be ineffective in ~~Cameroon~~ Cameroon's Higher Education Institutions, societal confidence in ~~the~~ the government's ability to achieve the objectives of higher ~~institution~~ institutions ~~becomes~~ become futile. Concerns about its implementation stage continually assume a greater significance. ~~Policy~~ ~~makers~~ Policymakers are very particular about formulating very lofty policies and pay little or no attention to ~~its~~ their implementation phase, ~~in spite of~~ despite the complexities associated with execution. Implementation often turns out to be the cemetery of Higher Educational policies. However, the government of Cameroon acknowledges the value of engaging key stakeholders in the development of Higher Education institutions to create sound, transparent and trusted educational policies. This is evident in the law of 16 April 2001 guiding the orientation of higher education in Cameroon, also is Cameroon's Growth and Employment Strategy Paper 2021-2020. It should be noted that the 2001 law of orientation places significant importance on collaboration with socio-economic partners, while according to GESP, the professionalization of higher education will be more refined and efficient, ~~partnership~~ partnerships with stakeholders in education and training will be forged. This ~~paper~~ paper, therefore, focuses on industry/employers as a predominant stakeholder and illustrates the value of engaging them in policy implementation from a theoretical perspective.

As ~~posit~~ ~~posited~~ by Bhuyan et al (2010) seven dimensions influence policy implementation, inter alia: policy formation and dissemination; social, political and economic context; leadership for policy implementation; stakeholder involvement in policy implementation; Implementation planning and resource mobilisation; operations/services and feedback on progress and results. From the above, it is very evident that stakeholders' engagement is a crucial element for a successful policy implementation. This ~~suggest~~ ~~suggests~~ that mere formulation of policies should become not the major ~~issues~~ ~~issue~~ or ~~concerns~~ ~~concern~~ in Cameroon Higher Education Institutions but rather their effective implementation, as it is only effectively implemented policies that can bring about improvement and growth.

In articulating this, ~~policy makers~~ ~~policy makers~~ and stakeholders should continually engage in meaningful dialogue, examining the consequences for fundamental values, ~~and~~ sharing burdens, strengths and weaknesses for a successful policy implementation.

In the words of, George Honadle and Rudi Klaus, (cited from Egonmwan, 2009)

'implementation is the nemesis of designers, it conjures up images of plans gone awry and of social carpenters and masons who fail to build to specifications and thereby distort the beautiful ~~blueprints~~ blueprints for progress which were handed to them. It provokes memories of good ideas that did not work and places the blame on the second member of the administration team'

This is reflective of the implementation phase of policies in Higher Education Institutions ~~in~~ in Cameroon where those who formulate policies place more emphasis on the powerful forces of politics with large ~~amount~~ ~~amounts~~ of energy and resources spent for a successful implementation which usually ~~result~~ ~~results~~ in shortcomings, difficulties and failures. Stakeholders who are major entities in Higher Education are completely left out or relegated to the background resulting ~~to~~ in a negative implication on the achievement of institutional goals.

CONCEPTUALISING STAKEHOLDERS' ENGAGEMENT IN EDUCATIONAL POLICY IMPLEMENTATION

It is very vital to examine key terms since words have a plethora of [meaning meanings](#). It is also hoped that this is critical in facilitating a better understanding of the focus of this work,

Public policy

[Policy-making Policymaking](#) is a techno-political process of articulating and matching actor goals and means. Many organizations and actors create [policies policies](#), but "public" policies are made by governments; decisions to act or not to act, to change, or maintain, some aspect of the status quo (Birkland, 2001). Public policy is an output of the political system, this output itself is conceived as the result of various environmental [variable-variables](#) acted upon by the political system. The political system here consists of the institutions, processes and personnel of government and acts as the processor of input into output, which are authoritative allocations of values. The authoritative allocations of output are [the public public](#) policies. Public policies are therefore the reaction of the political system to environmental demands and pressures. Demands are the articulated needs, problems and aspirations which are brought to bear upon the political system.

A plethora of conceptual definitions of policy exist and it wouldn't be scholarly trying to glue to the one best definition that suits. Henri (2006) traced back public policy to 4000 years to the Babylon city or Uruk and defined it as 'a course of action adopted and pursued by the government towards accomplishment of objectives.' While Jenkins (1978) defines public policy as 'a set of interrelated decisions taken by a political actor or group of actors concerning the selection of goals and the means of achieving them within a specified situation where those decisions should, in principle, be within the power of those actors to [achieve-achieve](#).

Viewing policy in the perspective of Jenkins, as the pursuit of conscious [goals goals](#), nevertheless, raises the significance to [policy-making policymaking](#) of the ideas and knowledge held by policy actors about policy goals and the tools or techniques used to achieve them; this of course shapes understanding of policy problems and the appropriateness of potential solutions to them. Taking into consideration [Jenkins's Jenkins's](#) definition above, it is [out](#) rightly clear that policy implementation is an integral part of policy formulation. However, many researchers consider policy implementation as a separate activity which takes place after [the](#) policy has been formulated. This [view view](#), however, can be supported on the basis that there is little appreciation of the fact that building support for policies is an integral part of designing them. On the other hand, Pressman and Wildavsky (1973) argue that separating policy formulation from its implementation phase is very fatal and detrimental [in-to](#) the [realisation-realization](#) and the effectiveness of the policy.

Policy Implementation

Policy implementation involves translating the goals and objectives of a policy into concrete action and reality. When all the laws required to give effect to policies adopted have been put in place, logically the next phase is the actual implementation of the policy. In [order-other](#) words, policy implementation refers to the application of the policy by the government's administrative machinery to the problem. It should be noted that while administrative agencies are the primary implementers of public policies other actors that are supposed to be involved are stakeholders. Traditionally, policy

makers see education policy implementation as a technical stage of the policy process in which the decision they have taken gets executed by the administration and educators throughout the system.

According to [Pressman](#) [Pressman](#) and [Wildavsky](#) (1973), implementation is the process of carrying out, accomplishing, fulfilling, producing and completing a policy. Kraft and Furlong (2007) state that policy implementation [actually](#) refers to the process and activities involved in the application, effectuation and administration of a policy. To corroborate, Nweke (2006) list out a series of activities involved in the implementation of a policy which [includes](#): [includes](#) issuing and enforcing directives, disbursing funds, signing contracts, collecting data and [analysing](#) [analyzing](#) [problem](#) [problems](#), hiring and assigning personnel, setting up committees and commissions, assigning duties and responsibilities and also making interim decisions. Simply put, policy implementations are those activities that are directed towards putting programmes to the necessary personnel, logistics support and funds, which will enhance the actualization of the policy objectives.

Initially, the emphasis in the literature [of-on](#) policy studies was more on the policy formulation stage. In contemporary times, however, emphasis has shifted to policy implementation following the realization that effective implementation of policies is not an automatic affair (Egonmwan 1984). In addition, policy implementation has become of greater concern to its formulation, particularly in developing countries like Cameroon where the government is increasingly looked [to](#) by its [citizen](#) [citizens](#) to effectively implement development projects and programmes but contrarily the ineffective implementation of policies has become very critical and worrisome.

The pattern and nature of policy [implementation](#), [implementation](#) account for the success or failure of any given policy. In this light, Nwankwo and Apeh (2008), observe that policy implementation is the most vital phase in the policy process as it determines the success or failure of a policy. To corroborate, Dick (2003), argues that policy implementation is the most crucial dimension in the policy process given the fact that the success or failure of any given policy is to a high degree a function of implementation. In essence, it can be said that policy implementation is the hub of a policy.

The Perspective of Stakeholder Stakeholders in Higher Educational Institutions

Broadly defined, a stakeholder is a person, group, or organization involved in or affected by a course of action. Higher education institutions, whose primary goal of existence is to generate and disseminate knowledge, cannot achieve [its-their](#) objectives without the [inputs-input](#) of stakeholders given the fact that the school functions as an open system. Stakeholders are a contributing factor in the teaching and learning process and therefore are an important constituent as they are affected by the outcome of university activities and vice versa. They provide [the input input](#) and provide feedback to the universities.

Freeman (1984) defines stakeholders as "any group or individual who can affect or is affected by the achievement of the [organisation-organization's](#) objectives". On their part, Thomson, [Vwartick](#) and Smith (1991), define stakeholders as groups "in relationship with an [organisation organization](#)." While Clarkson (1995) sees stakeholders as "persons or groups that have, or claim, ownership, rights, or interests in a corporation and its activities, past, present, or future".

In the same light, Hill and Jones (1992) define stakeholders as “constituents who have a legitimate claim on the firm”, while Carroll (1993) states that ~~by virtue of~~ legitimacy; groups or individuals

can be considered as stakeholders, of which the legitimacy could include power. Higher education stakeholders relate to different users of higher education: students, graduates, employers and society who want to have their say in deciding what quality ~~in~~ of higher education is. In stakeholder and policy implementation, it is critical to identify appropriate stakeholders and understand their influence on the policy process, their motivators given the proposed policy change, and strategies for engaging the stakeholders in the policy process.

Theoretical perspectives

While there is wide agreement about the need for sustainable stakeholder engagement, some theoretical foundations guide its usage and design. Within an environment of complexity, and a evolving landscape of higher education as a knowledge-based economy some key questions need to be examined with an understanding of theoretical knowledge evident in ~~Cameroon~~ Cameroon's educational policy implementation paradigm. What is the importance of stakeholders' engagement? What are some barriers or weaknesses in stakeholders' engagement in Cameroon? What are some best practices or workable models that Cameroon can learn from? What will happen if stakeholders fully participate in educational policy implementation-?

The open system theory was propagated by Katz and Kahn in 1978. Before this theory came into existence, all the theories regarding organizational behaviour considered organizations as closed ~~entity~~ entities, cut off from the world. For example, the Human Relations perspective of Elton Mayo and the Administrative theories of Henri Fayol treated the organization largely as a self-contained entity. It should be noted that many environmental factors do play a significant role in shaping the way organizations work and hence came in the open systems theory. Any time an individual organization uses resources from its environment including personnel in its production; its system is open to outside forces. As a logical consequence, Schools are open systems as they interact with their external environment for the attainment of the organisational goals. The theory posits that, Open systems contain five basic elements: inputs, transformation process, outputs, feedback, and the environment.

The Open System Model ~~takes into account~~ considers relations between systems and ~~its~~ their environment. Its properties look at the overall picture; ~~the~~ communication and feedback are essential to clearly direct planning and create an intelligent design. ~~That is to say the~~ The theory captures and supports the premise that stakeholders must get engaged in policy implementation. ~~As a consequence~~ Therefore, the theory suggests ~~as~~ a way forward that the school must get ~~involve~~ involved in its environment in its processes and activities. By so doing, the environment (industry) can directly and indirectly affect performance and outcome through their engagement. This implies university administrators should engage in continuous feedback and an open-door policy with the environment environment, especially the employers to strengthen the interactive dynamics between them. That opens the door for better communication and more feedback.

When the system and subsystems experience adequate collaboration, and enough feedback, the results can produce more clearly directed planning, intelligent design, useful products and necessary services. The open system sees the employer as a very vital stakeholder who comes into the system with their own interest and perceptions of how best to satisfy them. Based on this premise, the social, political, and economic contexts in which school administrators work are marked by pressures at the local, local levels. Thus, they should find it necessary to manage and develop internal operations while

concurrently monitoring the environment in anticipating and responding to external demands. This can only be realized through ~~mutual-cooperation~~ cooperation with employers by getting them involved in policy implementation and ~~in~~ all stages of the ~~teaching-learning~~ teaching-learning process. If universities operate based on systems thinking, they will work with other partners within the society to determine inputs and processes ~~in order to~~ to derive desired outcomes.

The Stakeholder theory (political frame) was propagated by ~~Lee-Lee~~ Bolman and Terry Deal (1991). The political frame emerged from the works of political ~~scientist~~ scientists who ~~sees~~ see educational organisations as synonymous ~~to~~ with jungles. This is so because the school as an organisation is viewed as a tool and arena where various groups (stakeholders) struggle to gain their interests. They come to the education sector with conflicting perspectives and ~~interest~~ interests with background knowledge that the basic resources needed for the attainment of ~~the~~ educational goals are scarce. The political frame ~~is based on the assumption~~ assumes that anybody within an educational organization can possess and wield power. Unlike the structural frame which is task-oriented and assumes that only persons occupying formal positions within a hierarchical structure have power to influence.

~~In view of~~ Given this ~~assumption, and assumption~~ and taking into cognizance that stakeholders come in with ~~vary interest~~ varying interests and perspectives a scene is automatically set for a political activity. In this light, the political activities will entail negotiation, compromise, conflict of interest, power struggle bargaining and formation of coalitions. As a logical consequence, the groups with more power tend to have things in their favour and interest. To corroborate, Titanji (2013) affirms that the political frame conceptualizes an educational organization as a ~~micro-political~~ micro-political setting within which the diverse interest of the stakeholders ~~has to~~ must be satisfied. That is to say that if the interest of employers is not satisfied, it could account for non-engagement. Therefore, the frame suggests that there should be absolute collaboration between higher education and industry so their interest ~~of~~ in providing students with skills to enable them to fit appropriately in the labour market could be ~~taking~~ taken into consideration.

The situation of stakeholders' engagement, in Higher Education Institutions Cameroon

Higher education is seen by many as providing a highly skilled human resource or human capital that drives the economy for sustainable growth and to the socio-economic betterment of individuals, and by so doing ~~reduce~~ reduces social inequalities (Schomburg & Teichler, 2006). This can only be achieved in collaboration and synergy with the different stakeholders.

Concerns about stakeholder engagement in Cameroon are as old as schools themselves. An ~~inter-ministerial~~ inter-ministerial circular No. 242/L/729/MINEDUC/JMS of 25th October 1979 created the Parent Teacher Association (PTA) in schools. This was ~~a~~ to promote and strengthen cooperation with parents as an integral part of the school administration. In addition, ~~the~~ law No. 98/004 of 14 April 1998 to lay down guidelines for education in Cameroon ~~lays emphasis on~~ emphasizes the educational community. To this effect the said law in section 32 stipulates that;

-The educational community shall comprise all individuals and corporate bodies that contribute towards the functioning, development and prestige of a school. It shall comprise of the following members: the authorities, administrative and support staff, teachers, parents, pupils, students, persons from socio-professional circles, and regional and local authorities.

In the same light, ~~Law No~~ Law

No. 005 of 16th April 2001 defines the orientation of Higher Education in terms of teaching, research and cooperation. One of the objectives of the above law is to define in collaboration with socio-economics

UNDER PEER REVIEW

institutions. Article 2 of the law ~~clearly~~ stipulates that in attaining the quest ~~of~~ ~~for~~ excellence in all domains of knowledge; ~~the~~ emphasis should be on ~~Social~~ ~~social~~ promotion, with the participation of competent national bodies and ~~socio~~ ~~professional~~ ~~socio-professional~~ circles, especially as concerns the drawing up of programmes, ~~and~~ policies, as well as organization of theoretical courses, practical and internships.

In line with the above, on 20th December 2010, MINESUP and GICAM, an employer's association of Cameroon signed a partnership charter (the University-Industry Charter). The charter is an expression of the values that the partners wish to see through their mutual relationships ~~in order to~~ optimize and strengthen the organization and collaboration between the industry and the university, in a climate of legal certainty and trust. For this purpose, it aims to amongst others; ~~reinforce~~ ~~re~~ ~~reinforce~~ the dialogue and ~~mutual~~ ~~mutually~~ beneficial exchanges between the university and the industry. To demonstrate this cooperation, the Ministry of Higher Education created an office in charge of Research, cooperation and ~~relation~~ ~~relations~~ with the business world in all eight state universities in Cameroon. This office is headed by a deputy ~~vice-chancellor~~ ~~vice-chancellor~~ under the auspices of the ~~vice-chancellor~~ ~~vice-chancellor~~. As

functions, the Cooperation Division has the responsibility of coordinating all ~~university-wide~~ ~~university-wide~~ initiatives furthering national and international cooperation. In addition, the Office is expected to advise, monitor and assist individual Departments, Faculties and Schools and Colleges in their cooperation and outreach activities. ~~In order that~~ ~~So that~~ the Office can best perform these latter responsibilities, all units of the university are expected to keep the Office informed of new initiatives and progress being made on existing national and international relationships and projects. Also, all Establishments are expected to keep the Cooperation Office informed of the general nature of national and international partnerships being developed and activities implementing partnership agreements. Vice-Deans in charge of Research and Cooperation have been appointed in each Faculty/School/College to manage their respective cooperation and outreach activities in collaboration with the Cooperation Division, under the supervisory authority of the Deputy Vice-Chancellor/RCB and the Vice-Chancellor.

More specifically, in pursuit of its vision, the University of Buea has developed a Cooperation Policy on national and international cooperation. The University of Buea has equally established several National and International Partnerships. Partnership agreements or Memoranda of Understanding are signed with national and international academic institutions, the private and public sectors, business enterprises and industry, ~~NGO's~~ ~~NGO~~ and Civil Society organizations to enrich programmes of study within the framework of the vision in terms of links with the business world. This is instrumental in maintaining national and international standards and the recognition of the university in the global economy of knowledge with its graduates having a cutting edge into the global workforce. To ensure that partnerships are beneficial ~~and~~ ~~also~~ ~~and~~ sustainable, a Check List containing terms and conditions of establishing ~~MOU's~~ ~~MOUs~~ /Partnership Agreements or Contracts ~~have~~ ~~has~~ been developed as a guide. However, despite these frantic efforts by the government, there are still huge setbacks causing barriers ~~for~~ ~~to~~ stakeholders' engagement in policy implementation.

Barrier to Stakeholders' engagement-Engagement in Policy Implementation

Implementation barriers are often the first thing that comes to mind when thinking about implementation. Taking steps to avoid or overcome these barriers at an early stage of implementation is crucial. It is possible that criticisms of the shortcomings of policy implementation are not so much the result of failure in the infeasibility of the policy ~~but~~ rather of failure in the implementation process.

These include among others: school leadership; enduring differences of the stakeholders and resistance to change.

School Leadership; Leadership: the term leadership has been defined by Coleman and Glover (2010) as the action of leading a group of people or an organization. As ~~posit-posit~~ by Thomson (1992) there are ~~a number of several~~ activities that school leaders must perform to ensure ~~the~~ effective running of a school. According to him, school leaders must understand changes as well as manage them, they must involve and motivate staff, create a positive culture, build group vision, provide a positive instructional environment, stimulate public support, and engage community leaders. Without this, the implementation phase cannot be achieved.

As ~~posit-posit~~ by Bryson & Crosby (2005), Leadership is essential for effective policy implementation. ~~High-level~~ actors and influential leaders can communicate about the policy's rationale and mechanisms, and champion the policy to ensure implementation, which requires ~~co-ordination~~ and ~~co-operation~~ (Bhuyan 2005). ~~The~~ level of consensus among leaders and other policy stakeholders on the content of a policy and its need for implementation will affect the degree and timing of its implementation (Thomas & Grindle 1990). However, some leaders ~~do not have the ability to~~ cannot cooperate with stakeholders in the way they exhibit their leadership styles. They encourage a climate ~~character~~ ~~ises~~ characterized by paranoid, unhealthy ~~coalition~~ and power ~~struggle~~ which hampers effective cooperation for implementation.

Enduring differences of between the Stakeholders;

Stakeholders may have vested interests that are not aligned with the intervention. Differences manifest ~~amongst~~ among stakeholders in dimensions such as interest, as ~~posit-posit~~ by the political frame of Bolman and Deal (2013). Stakeholders come to the school arena with various interests. Within an environment of scarce resources, the possession of power becomes a critical resource. If the interests of the various stakeholders are not homogenous, then the scene is set for political activity giving rise to conflict and non-consensus in the implementation of policies. Those with religious ~~background~~ backgrounds may be more interested in education for character development, politicians will be interested in education for ~~integrations~~ integration while employers, the education that ~~serve~~ ~~serve the~~ economic interest of the country. Due to these differences, the participation and engagement in policy implementation of these different stakeholders is fluid. Implying the various stakeholders are not permanently interested in all issues and so some may result in nonparticipation. This is a very crucial factor in the implementation phase because participatory processes are seen as a remedy for what hampers the implementation process of policies.

Resistance to Change;

Stakeholders, ~~in particular~~ particularly those responsible for delivering an intervention, may sometimes resist change and this can undermine implementation. Some common reasons for resistance to change include the following:

- Stakeholders feel they have not been consulted
- Changes are implemented before stakeholders are ready
- Implementation is perceived as occurring through coercion or control from leadership
- Organisational culture is not aligned with the intervention

- Appropriate governance structures to support implementation are not in place.

Importance of Stakeholders' engagement Engagement in Policy Implementation

Higher Education stakeholders are individuals or groups of persons who have a vested interest in the welfare and success of the educational system, the university and students. These include: include Educational educational leaders and administrators, teachers, students, parents, families, local community members, school board members, councils, state officials, politicians, business organizations, religious organizations, alumni groups, education advocacy groups, media outlets and cultural organizations. All these entities in other words have a "stake" in education and this usually can be personal, professional, civic, or financial interest or concern.

Stakeholder engagement refers to the process by which an organization involves people who may be affected by the decisions it makes or who can influence the implementation of decisions. In other words, any group or individual who individual can affect or be affected by the achievement of an organization's objectives. Stakeholders may support or oppose decisions and may be influential in the organization or within the community in which they operate. They are crucial entities that play a role in an organization's performance or operations or those affected by the actions undertaken by the organization (Horev & Babad, 2005). External stakeholders stakeholder's support is critical in influencing opinion or perception of the change in the community and the society at large. While internal Internal stakeholders play a major role in facilitating its successful implementation by providing their skills and knowledge and providing appropriate leadership in the organization to allow for the proposed change (Griffiths, Maggs & George, 2007).

There are several reasons to consider engaging key stakeholders in policy implementation. On a practical level:

- Stakeholders are the recipient recipients of policy and as a logical consequence their engagement is imperative
- The improvements in the lives of Stakeholders determine the success or failure of public policy.
- Stakeholders can utilize many ways to influence policy. They could Lobby, Call for conferences Support or advocate for non-support of a policy as well as rally
- Stakeholder engagement identifies areas of agreement as well as disagreement and provides an opportunity to understand more fully what might be driving key stakeholder differences.
- They help articulate/reflect the values of the broader community that is affected
- They align practice recommendations with societal needs and expectations
- They help execute the implementation of guidelines as intended
- Their engagement promotes transparency
- Their engagement increases the quality and trustworthiness of the policy

Way forward to Stakeholders' engagement in policy implementation (best practices)

Ensuring implementation implies all hands on hands on deck for meaningful implementation to take place towards the realisation realization of an effective policy. Below are some practices which can be termed best for a successful policy implementation.

The ability to reckon with the external environment;

The world beyond the physical boundaries of the educational organisation is termed the external environment from which the school receives ~~inputs~~input which are later transformed into outputs and ~~sends~~sent back as graduates into the larger society to serve. It is a continuous process as the environment sends ~~feed-back~~feedback to the school on the quality of its outputs. This information enables the school to evaluate its activities for improvement. ~~As a consequence~~Therefore, the external environment provides legitimacy and support in various forms to the school whose outputs meet its needs for sustainable development. It should be noted that the external environment does not comprise only of human beings but also non-human forces such as economic, technological, cultural and political developments which ~~mounts~~mount pressure on schools. This is inevitable because schools are considered as open systems and do not function in isolation. These forces are continually exerting pressure on the education ~~sector~~sector, especially when policies are being made. This implies that what occurs in schools ~~is a reflection of~~reflects the external environment. Schools ~~effect~~affect their environment and are in turn affected by them.

Schools should be viewed as a political arena;

The Political Frame of Bolman and Deal (2013), addresses the problem of individuals and interest groups (~~stakeholder~~stakeholders) having sometimes conflicting (often hidden) agendas, especially at times when budgets are ~~limited~~limited, and the organization ~~has to~~must make difficult choices. In this Frame, one will see coalition-building, conflict resolution work, and power-base building to support the leader's initiatives. This frame addresses the issue of lack of cooperation, because administrators do not understand that the school is a political arena and tool for stakeholders whose interest ~~has to~~must be satisfied, they constantly alienate from them which is very detrimental to engaging them in policy implementation. The political frame suggests that the school as an organization is an active political agent in larger arenas, which can be termed ecosystems. Since organisations depend on their environments for ~~the~~the resources they need to survive, they are inevitably tangled with external constituents or stakeholders whose expectations or ~~demand~~demands must be heeded. This must be done with the understanding that these stakeholders (employers) speak with loud but conflicting voices.

Suggesting away forward, administrators should see themselves as political actors and therefore need to master many basic skills of individual managers as politicians, develop an agenda, map the environment, manage relationships with allies negotiate accords and alliances. Above all they need to learn how to cope with power and conflict, build coalitions, master political skills, and deal with internal and external politics all in a bid to create a conducive environment for synergy. In this case, universities must build ~~a~~a coalition with stakeholders and work very closely at every stage of the ~~teaching learning~~teaching-learning process; most especially ~~in~~in getting ~~they~~them engaged in policy implementation. In so doing, interests must ~~have been~~be satisfied and objectives met.

Provide training to community stakeholders ~~in helping to help~~ them develop effective communications and awareness.

The sharing of information, communication and education should focus on enhancing ~~a~~a common understanding of the concepts of participation and "participation rights". ~~The full~~Full participation should reach the highest level of sharing the right to make ~~decision~~decisions. Furthermore, the concept of active citizenship should be continuously promoted in association with the concept of education quality. This ~~helps~~helps raise the community's awareness of and readiness ~~in sharing to~~in sharing to

UNDER PEER REVIEW

education governance and the government. Together they promote an education whose ~~final~~ ~~outcome~~ ~~outcome~~ is the creation of citizens with adequate health, intellectual capacity, good ethics, lifelong learning ability and the capacity to engage in the governance of society. With this notion, stakeholders

will deem it necessary to get ~~engage~~ ~~engaged~~ in all the activities of educational institutions and more important ly in the implementation of its policies. Simply ~~put~~ ~~out~~, the current participation of stakeholders' issues at schools and community will give them the capacity to get involved in broader social governance. Above all Communicate clearly about the policy (use shared vision, adapt the level of speech). This engagement is a key to addressing the issue of stakeholders' engagement in policy implementation.

Engage stakeholders in the formulation of educational policies before implementation; Stakeholders are

not adequately educated on government initiatives which makes it difficult for them to implement these policies very well in their areas of operation. ~~therefore~~ ~~Therefore~~, as a way forward governments should always engage critical stakeholders in its formulation to ensure ~~the~~ effective implementation of government initiatives. If policies are being formulated, these stakeholders should be invited to ~~actually~~ understand these policies.

CONCLUSION

Conclusively, Stakeholder engagement is considered vital to the success and improvement of a school. The involvement of the broader community of the school ~~with it~~ can improve communication and public understanding and ~~allows~~ ~~allow~~ for the incorporation of the perspectives, experiences and expertise of participating community members to improve reform proposals, strategies, or processes. Whether and how stakeholders are ~~recognised~~ ~~recognized~~ and included in the implementation process is crucial to its effectiveness. Unfortunately, anecdotal ~~evidences~~ ~~evidence~~ ~~give~~ ~~gives~~ us a clear picture of how stakeholders are left out in the implementation process of policies causing a very negative impact towards the achievement of organizational goals. However, if ~~policy~~ ~~makes~~ ~~policy~~ ~~makes~~ and stakeholders want policies to be effective, and improve education, they need to share a common understanding of implementation to be able to work together in the process.

REFERENCES

- Bhuyan, A., Jorgensen, A. and Sharma, S. (2010). *Taking the Pulse of Policy: The Policy Implementation Assessment Tool*. Washington, DC: Futures Group, Health Policy Initiative, Task Order 1.
- Bhuyan, A. (2005). *Commitment for action: Assessing leadership for confronting the HIV/AIDS epidemic - Lessons Learned from Pilot studies in Bangladesh, India, Nepal and Viet Nam*. Washington DC: Future Group Policy Project available at
Hyprlink "<http://www.policyproject.com/pubs/politicalcommitmnt/PCsynthesispdf> (accessed "August 29, 2011),
- Birkland, Thomas A. (2001). *An introduction to the policy process: theories, concepts, and models of public policymaking*. Armonk, N. Y: M. E. Sharpe.
- Bolman, L. G., & Deal, T. E. (2013). *Reframing organizations: Artistry, choice, and leadership* (5th ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Bryson, J. M. and Crosby, B. C. (2005). *Leadership for the Common Good: Tackling Public Problems in a Shared-power World*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, Inc.
- Cameroon (2001). *Decree No. 5 April 16, 2001 to provide the orientation for public higher institutions*, Yaoundé, presidency of the Republic.
- Cameroon (2010). *Decree No. 20, December 2010, to provide the University-Industry partnership Charter*, Yaoundé, presidency of the Republic.
- Clarkson, M. B. E. (1995), A stakeholder framework for analyzing and evaluating corporate social performance. *Academy of Management Review*, 20: 92-117.
- Carroll, A. B. (1993). *Business and society: Ethics and stakeholder management* (2nd ed.). Cincinnati: South-Western.
- Coleman, M. & Glover, D. (2010). *Educational Leadership and Management: Developing Insights and Skills*. London: McGraw-Hill Open University Press.
- Dick, I. (2003). *Contemporary Public Administration: The Nigerian Perspective*, Enugu, John Jacobs Classic Publishers.
- Egonmwan, J. (1984). *Public Policy Analysis: Concepts and Applications*: Benin City: S. M. O. Aka and Brothers Press. Egonmwan, J. A. (2009). *Public Policy Analysis, Concepts and Application*: Resyin Nig, Company Benin City.
- Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Boston:
- Pitman, Henri N. (2006). *Public Administration and Public Affairs*: 9th Edition: Prentice Hall India New Delhi.
- Griffiths, J., Maggs, H., & George, E. (2007). Stakeholder Involvement: Background paper prepared for the WHO/WEF Joint Event on Preventing Non-communicable Diseases in the Workplace. Geneva: WHO.
- Hill, C. W. L., & Jones, T. M. (1992). Stakeholder-agency theory. *Journal of Management Studies*, 29(2): 131-154.
- Horev, T., & Babad, Y. (2005). Healthcare reform implementation: stakeholders and their roles- the Israeli experience. *Health Policy*, 71(1): 1-29.
- Jenkins, W. (1978). *Policy Analysis: a political and organisational perspective*, Martin Roberts, London.
- Kraft, M. and Furlong, S. (ed) (2007) *Public Policy: Politics and Analysis*. Washington: C. Q Press.
- Nwankwo, B. & Apeh, S. 2008. *Development Administration: Principles and Practice*, Enugu, Zik Chuks Publishers.
- Nweke, E. (2006) *Public Policy Analysis: A Strategic Approach*. Enugu: John Jacobs Publishers. Pressman, J. L. and Wildavsky, A. (1973). *Implementation*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Pressman, J. L. & Wildavsky, A. B. (2000). *Implementation: How Great Expectations in Washington are Dashed in Oakland*, Berkeley, University of California Press.
- Schomburg, H., & Teichler, U. (2006). *Higher education and graduate employment in Europe*; Results from graduate surveys from twelve countries. Springer: Netherlands

- Thomas, S.D. (1992). *School Leadership: A Blueprint for Change*. Newbury Park, California: Corwin Press, Inc.
- Thompson, J.K., Wartick, S.L., & Smith, H.L. (1991). Integrating corporate social performance and stakeholder management: Implications for a research agenda in small business. *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy*, 12: 207-230.
- Thomas, J.W. and Grindle, M.S. (1990). After the decision: Implementing policy reform in developing countries. *World development*, 18(8): 1, 163-1, 181.
- Titanji, P.F. (2013). *50 Years of Educating Reforms in Cameroon*. In David Abouem a Tchoyi & Stephane Claude M' bafou (eds.) *50 ans de Reforme de l'Etat au Cameroun: strategies, bilan et perspectives* (113-140). Yaounde; l'harmattan.

UNDER PEER REVIEW