

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2588
Title of the Manuscript:	CRITICAL DIMENSIONS OF STAKEHOLDERS' ENGAGEMENT IN EDUCATIONAL POLICY IMPLEMENTATION IN CAMEROON HIGHER EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is to be used as a reference for the scientific community regarding the importance of policy implementation in the education sector as a series of policy strategies starting from formulation, implementation and monitoring evaluation of each activity which must be used as recommendations for subsequent activities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title must be revised : STAKEHOLDERS' ENGAGEMENT IN EDUCATIONAL POLICY IMPLEMENTATION IN CAMEROON HIGHER EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Recommendations regarding writing the abstract are relevant to suggestions and comments on the conclusion	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	In analyzing stakeholder involvement, it is still carried out partially (are there other variables that influence the implementation of the policy and it is not just the school community that is considered important for the success and progress of the school. Please, this research is not only descriptive but must be conducted using qualitative methods. or quantitative so that it can be used as a reference for further research (conduct research correctly according to the methodological stages.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article as a whole is not the result of research but is only a descriptive form that describes the involvement of educational stakeholders partially, not the result of research with methods that must be used, both quantitative and qualitative.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	reference sources should not be more than 10 years old since article created ec : (Birkland, 2001); pressman and Wildavsky (1973), Freeman (1984) ; Karz and Kahn in 1978 ; lee Bolman and Terry Deal (1991) etc (must be renewable)	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language are suitable	
Optional/General comments	Article writing is not descriptive but is actually the result of research so that it can be used as a recommendation for further research (citation material for further research)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Teddy Hikmat Fauzi
Department, University & Country	Universitas Pasundan, Indonesia