

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	MsAJEBA_125258
Title of the Manuscript:	Elements of Stakeholders' Engagement in Implementing Educational Policies within Higher Education Institutions in Cameroon
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is to be used as a reference for the scientific community regarding the importance of policy implementation in the higher education sector as a series of policy strategies starting from formulation, implementation and monitoring evaluation of each activity which must be used as recommendations for subsequent activities and various element (external and internal factor)	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p style="text-align: center;">The title must be revised :</p> Stakeholder functions in Policy Implementation for Higher education in Cameroon KEYWORDS: Strategies, Policy Implementation, stakeholders' engagement	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	recommendations regarding writing the abstract are relevant to suggestions and comments on the conclusion	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	in analyzing stakeholder in policy implementation are still There are several indicators of stakeholder variables which are generally divided into internal and external stakeholders (Freeman and Mc Vea, 2001) which can be influenced and influence the achievement of organizational goals and organizational accountability. there are many variables in the implementation of the policy and it is not just the higher education that is considered important for the success and progress its. Please, this research is not only descriptive but must be conducted using qualitative methods or quantitative so that it can be used as a reference for further research (conduct research correctly according to the methodological stages.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article as a whole is not the result of research but is only a descriptive form that describes the involvement of educational stakeholders partially, not the result of research with methods that must be used, both quantitative and qualitative. Please cite several strategic management theories as well as the results of qualitative research related to stakeholder analysis	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	reference sources should not be more than 10 years old since article created : Thomas, S.D. (1992). School Leadership: A Blueprint for Change. Newbury Park, California: Corwin	

[Review Form2](#)

=	Press, Inc. Thompson, J.K., Wartick, S.L., & Smith, H.L. (1991). Integrating corporate social performance and stakeholder management: Implications for a research agenda in small business. Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy, 12:207-230. Thomas, J.W. and Grindle, M.S. (1990). After the decision: Implementing policy reform in developing countries. World development, 18(8):1,163-1,181. And so on.....	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language are suitable	
Optional/General comments	Article writing is not descriptive but is actually the result of research so that it can be used as a recommendation for further research (citation material for further research)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	here are no ethical issues in this manuscript	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Teddy Hikmat Fauzi
Department, University & Country	Universitas Pasundan, Indonesia