

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2588
Title of the Manuscript:	CRITICAL DIMENSIONS OF STAKEHOLDERS' ENGAGEMENT IN EDUCATIONAL POLICY IMPLEMENTATION IN CAMEROON HIGHER EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is very important for the scientific community. The purpose of the paper, which is to examine stakeholder engagement in policy implementation and its impact on implementation success. Furthermore, the paper highlights important concepts such as the importance of democratic public participation, the potential gap in engagement during implementation, and the need for practical strategies to improve implementation performance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	This article has a very appropriate title that describes its content accurately.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract discusses the extent of stakeholder involvement in policy implementation, with a focus on the factors contributing to implementation failures when engagement is limited. We use a theoretical framework to analyze case studies, identifying specific challenges and proposing practical strategies to enhance stakeholder participation and improve implementation outcomes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript's subsections and structure were appropriate to me.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has a clear purpose - to examine stakeholder engagement in policy implementation and its impact on implementation success. It emphasizes the importance of democratic public participation and addresses the potential gap in engagement during implementation, highlighting the need for practical strategies to improve implementation performance. Therefore, the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are appropriate.	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, According to me the English quality of the article is suitable for publication in scholarly journals.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zafrul Allam
Department, University & Country	University of Bahrain, Bahrain