## Review Form 2

Book Name:	Chemical and Materials Sciences: Developments and Innovations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2706
Title of the Manuscript:	Cellular AutomataModeling as a Tool in Corrosion Management
Type of the Article	Book chapter

## PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feed highlight that pa authors should
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The presented manuscript presents the results of research related to the modeling of the phenomenon of corrosion by the cellular automaton method. In general, the authors cover the field of research, show various aspects of the fundamental foundations of corrosion, theoretical models and practical applications for countering such a phenomenon as corrosion. In my opinion, more attention should have been paid to three-dimensional cellular automata.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In my opinion, the manuscript as a whole is correctly written. The topic of research is fully understood, the basics of the corrosion process are logically laid out, and the relevance of cellular automaton modeling is shown. This allows researchers in this area to orient themselves correctly. In turn, this accelerates the solution of complex corrosion problems.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed w highlight that part in the manusc his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Leonid Galchynsky
Department, University & Country	Igor Sikorsky National Technical University of Ukraine "KPI", Ukraine

eedback (Please correct the manuscript and
at part in the manuagrint. It is mandatony that
at part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that
ould write his/her feedback here)

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write