

Review Form2

Book Name:	Chemical and Materials Sciences: Developments and Innovations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2706
Title of the Manuscript:	Cellular Automata Modeling as a Tool in Corrosion Management
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Chapter is helpful for advancing corrosion management through the provision of a robust framework for modeling and simulation for the community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the chapter is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, abstract is comprehensive no need for further improvement.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	After introduction section, Literature Survey section 2 is needed.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The chapter is free from any grammatical mistakes and the construction of it is scientifically based with proper citations, tables, figures etc. , that explore the technical robustness of the chapter.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references are recent till 2023 publications and sufficient for the chapter.	
Minor REVISION comments	Good quality of communication throughout the chapter.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Good quality of work has been done in the corrosion management process.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lokpriya Mohanrao Gaikwad
Department, University & Country	University of Mumbai, India