Review Form 2

Book Name:	Chemical and Materials Sciences: Developments and Innovations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2706
Title of the Manuscript:	Cellular Automata Modeling as a Tool in Corrosion Management
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be	This manuscript titled "Cellular Automata Modeling as a Tool in Corrosion Management" is highly	
	significant for the scientific community, particularly in corrosion research and predictive	
	maintenance. The paper provides valuable insights into the use of cellular automata (CA) models	
required for this part.	to simulate complex corrosion processes at the microscale. These models can assist researchers	
	and engineers in understanding and predicting corrosion behavior, which has both scientific and	
	practical importance. The application of CA models is timely and addresses an ongoing need for	
	more efficient corrosion management strategies. I find the approach innovative, and the	
	comprehensive review of CA applications in different corrosion types is commendable.	
Is the title of the article suitable?	The title is suitable as it accurately reflects the manuscript's content, emphasizing both the modeling	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	aspect and its practical application in corrosion management. However, a possible refinement could	
	be: "Advancements in Cellular Automata Modeling for Corrosion Management and Predictive	
	Maintenance." This would highlight the forward-looking nature of the research.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do	The abstract is comprehensive and summarizes the key points effectively. However, it could be	
you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your	improved by briefly mentioning specific types of corrosion that the cellular automata model	
suggestions here.	addresses, such as localized or intergranular corrosion. This addition would make the abstract	
	even more informative for readers.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript	The manuscript's subsections are appropriately structured, with clear divisions between different	
appropriate?	types of corrosion and modeling methodologies. Each section logically leads to the next, making it	
	easy to follow the progression of the research.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. The authors have thoroughly	
scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically	explained the methodology behind cellular automata models, including grid types, state transitions,	
robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4	and the influence of environmental factors. The models presented are grounded in well-established	
sentences may be required for this part.	mathematical principles and are validated through experimental comparisons. The paper is also	
	technically accurate, providing a detailed exploration of both uniform and localized corrosion	
	processes. Overall, the research demonstrates a high level of scientific rigor.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you	The references are sufficient and recent, reflecting a comprehensive understanding of both	
have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	foundational and contemporary literature on corrosion management. The authors might consider	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 2 (08-07-2024)

Review Form 2

Ξ	adding a few more recent studies on machine learning integration with corrosion modeling to
	enhance the discussion on predictive maintenance.
Minor REVISION comments	The language is clear and generally suitable for scholarly communication.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript provides a solid foundation for future research in corrosion modeling. Including
	more figures demonstrating the real-world application of cellular automata in predictive
	maintenance would enhance the paper's practical appeal. Following points may be considered for
	making this manscript more comprehensive and robus:
	1. The manuscript does not sufficiently address the limitations of cellular automata models ,
	particularly their potential shortcomings in simulating highly complex or multiscale corrosion
	phenomena. A more critical discussion on where CA models fall short, or how they can be
	improved, would add balance and depth to the analysis
	2. Although the manuscript provides a comprehensive review of CA models , it misses the
	opportunity to discuss emerging technologies, such as the integration of artificial intelligence
	(AI) or machine learning (ML) with CA models for predictive corrosion management. Including
	these advancements would make the paper more future-oriented and relevant to ongoing
	research
	3. The manuscript discusses cellular automata models in detail but doesn't provide a thorough
	comparison with other corrosion modeling techniques, such as finite element models
	(FEM) or Monte Carlo simulations. A comparative analysis would help position CA more clearly
	in the broader context of corrosion management tools, outlining its strengths and weaknesses
	relative to alternative methods.

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sukhdev Singh
Department, University & Country	Multani Mal Modi College Patiala(Punjab), Punjabi University, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 2 (08-07-2024)