

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3050
Title of the Manuscript:	INSTRUCTIONAL SUPERVISION AND PERFORMANCE LAG ADDRESS PROGRAM (PLAP): A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF FORMER GROUP A (SI) AND FORMER GROUP B (S2) SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN MUTARE URBAN, ZIMBABWE
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, I think it is appropriate and important	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	They are interesting and well structured sections	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The study is well planned. The basis is adequate, the methodological design certified. The conclusions respond to the proposed objectives and with real references. Its publication is recommended given the aforementioned conditions and the interest of the topic. For all of the above, I consider that this work could be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antonio Luque De La Rosa
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Almeria, Spain