

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3050
Title of the Manuscript:	INSTRUCTIONAL SUPERVISION AND PERFORMANCE LAG ADDRESS PROGRAM (PLAP): A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF FORMER GROUP A (SI) AND FORMER GROUP B (S2) SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN MUTARE URBAN, ZIMBABWE
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is a an eye opener because it gives insight into how teachers deal with programs or interventions introduced to enhance student' achievement, particularly when their interests are not taken care of . Also, how teachers are left to do their own thing without supervision.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable but too long  INSTRUCTIONAL SUPERVISION AND PERFORMANCE LAG AD- DRESS PROGRAM (PLAP): A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF FOR TWO SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN MUTARE URBAN, ZIMBABWE	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is note comprehensive enough 1. The research design was not stated 2. Specific recommendations were not stated	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust considering the problem being researched and the methods employed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient but not very recent	

**Review Form 2**

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is quite okay. Few grammatical errors should be corrected	
Optional/General comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Rosemary Naana Kumi-Manu
Department, University & Country	University of Education, Ghana