|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Book Name: | [An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research](https://www.bookpi.org/bookstore/product/an-overview-of-literature-language-and-education-research-vol-1/) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_** **3091** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Evaluation of Policy-based Initiatives' Impact on Indian Tribal Populations: Current Circumstances and Potential for Development** |
| Type of the Article | **Book chapter** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Review Comments | | |
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The study is based on status of scheduled tribes who are the marganalised people. These Scheduled Tribes are spread throughout the country largely in forest and hilly regions. As in the case of the SCs, the Plan objective of empowering the tribals is being achieved through a three-pronged strategy of social empowerment, economic empowerment and social justice. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | Yes.  It may be modified as: Evaluate the impact of Policy-based Initiatives on Scheduled Tribes in India: Current Circumstances and Potential for Development |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Restructure of abstract is essential. Researcher may mention the objectives of the study and the findings. |  |
| **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** | Yes. It is better to write objectives of the study at the end of objective section. Sources of the tables are missing. So researcher should includes sources of the tables. |  |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | Researcher has included the comparative study of education and heath status between STs and all others. Researcher may also include poverty or income parameter. Overall it is a good work. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | **Yes.** |  |
| Minor REVISION commentsIs the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Acceptable. |  |
| Optional/General comments |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Reviewer Details: | |
| Name: | **Sukanta Sarkar** |
| Department, University & Country | **Gambella University, Ethiopia** |