Review Form 2

Book Name:	**Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BPR_3136**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Clinical variants of Pityriasis Rosea and PR-like rashes produced by drugs or after COVID-19 infection/vaccination**
Type of the Article	**Book Chapter**

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**The chapter provides an in-depth statistical data analysis from relevent literature studies since 1938. So many case studies with a specific skin disorder have been described incredibly in a single book chapter. It provides huge information and insights with numbers and exact statistics to the relevant scientist or future researcher.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**Not only cinical variants and correlation with use of drugs or COVID 19 infection/vaccination but this review manuscript also described etiology and diagnosis of Pityriasis rosea or PR rash very well. Your title of the artice should include these keywords also.**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**The purpose of this chapter was not mentioned by the author in the abstract. Idea behind inclusion of so many numerical data in each section of this review must be cleared with few critical conclusions in abstract.**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**Absolutely perfect.**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**Overall, the chapter is well-written with an exact, informative scientific tone and offers valuable insights on a very special type of dermatosis for the clinical dermatology world called Pityriasis rosea. With a very relevant and illustrative study review, this manuscript presents many priceless resources in one frame for future researchers.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	**Absolutely Sufficient.**	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	As an ordinary person, there are many terms difficult to understand, and few advanced phrases are quite confusing to comprehend, even in the scientific community too. Such words are incuded in many sentences. Few examples are aphthous ulcers, antibody tittre, target-shape herald patch, Collarette scaling, parakeratosis, erythema pernio etc. Unnecesary dash found in word file inside 'Conclusion' paragraph.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Arijit Ash**
Department, University & Country	**Mankar Institute of Education and Research of Pharmacy Science, India**