

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3136
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical variants of Pityriasis Rosea and PR-like rashes produced by drugs or after COVID-19 infection/vaccination
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is well written. It makes an exhaustive review of a common skin condition witch has a wide clinical spectra, giving clinicians an excellent refrence for diagnosis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest title of "Pityriasis rosea and Pityriasis rosea like rashes"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and sufficient. It summarized almost all parts treated on the manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, I suggest to separate introduction from clinical part. I suggest also to add a part concerning epidemiology	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript makes an exhaustive review of this skin condition and collects almost all clinical variants of PR and PR likes rashes. It gives clinicians an excellent repertory for diagnosis of this condition	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes references are sufficient and consisting on almost all old and recent publications reporting this condition	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, I think that English quality is good	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I strongly recommend to accept this manuscript	

[Review Form 2](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mariam Mohamed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Fattouma Bourguiba University hospital And Medical College of Monastir, Monastir University, Tunisia</b>