

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Recent Developments in Chemistry and Biochemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3239
Title of the Manuscript:	The Law of Parsimony and the Negative Charge of the Bubbles
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The concept of negatively charged bubbles is significant in fluid dynamics and colloidal science, as it explains the behavior of interfaces in liquid systems. Together, these principles help refine theoretical models and experimental observations, guiding researchers towards more efficient and accurate interpretations of complex phenomena.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. It is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. It is..!	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Law of Parsimony is scientifically robust because it promotes efficiency in formulating hypotheses, which is fundamental to scientific rigor. The negative charge of bubbles, particularly in liquid systems, is technically sound as it is based on well-established principles of electrostatics and surface chemistry. Together, these concepts provide a solid framework for understanding complex systems in a simplified yet accurate manner, making them valuable tools in both theoretical and applied sciences.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes. Sufficient References.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes...!	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mojabir Hussien Ansari
Department, University & Country	Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, J. S. University, India