

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3313
Title of the Manuscript:	STEM CELL BREAKTHROUGHS: A CURE IN SIGHT?
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The chapter confers both important and complex problems of Stem Cells Transplantations presumably for regenerative purposes, which may be interested to readers. Sincerely manuscript is written common without studies details it may present as advertisement of whole problem only. Of note, author incorrect writing of auto and allotransplantation definition (shown in red) which should be repaired.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is written without available detailization of basic direction of regeneration for instance neuroregeneration, which required addition.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Science robust is undiscovered.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Enough	
Minor REVISION comments	Suitable	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Knowing no the content of other chapters of your dition it is difficulty evaluate wether this manuscript sound or not.	

[Review Form2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nikolay Mamaev
Department, University & Country	RM Gorbacheva Scientific Institute for Children Oncology, Hematology and Transplantation, Pavlov State Medical University, Russia