

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Economics and Entrepreneurship
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_ 3336.11
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of Entrepreneurial skills of DR Congolese Refugee Women on Economic Growth in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is of great importance to the scientific community, as it helps deepen the understanding of a crucial and relevant topic in the field. It not only fills certain gaps in the existing literature but also proposes innovative perspectives that could guide future research. I particularly appreciate this manuscript for its methodological rigor and the clarity with which the results are presented, making them accessible to other researchers and practitioners. Moreover, the suggested research avenues provide exciting opportunities for further studies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	"Female Entrepreneurship: How Congolese Refugee Women Drive Economic Growth in KwaZulu-Natal"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract clearly outlines the study's objectives and scope, highlighting the impact of entrepreneurial skills of refugee women on the local economy of KwaZulu-Natal. However, it could be enhanced by providing more details about the methodology used (e.g., type of analysis or survey) and the concrete implications of the results for policymakers.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The strengths of the article are original and interesting considerations with are consistent with the pattern of research. Solid methodology of the research with analysis. Therefore, contribution to existing knowledge is considerable. Also advantage of the research is perfect organization & readability. It is noteworthy that specific statistical data have been developed based on a scientific literature review. Therefore, the entire article contains arguments corresponding to the standards of scientific methods that significantly contribute to the science of the selected topic.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Overall, it is an excellent article with very interesting considerations, which is consistent with the pattern of research. It is a very good review article with an analysis of the topic under study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	However, I suggest improving the following elements: - extend the analysis of world literature (add items in the bibliography) in the discussed topic and thus extend the scientific discussion, - extend the chapter on results and specify the consequences of the obtained results - discuss possible studies on the discussed topic	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article is suitable for publication for minor revision.	
Optional/General comments	The article is suitable for publication for minor revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salim Morched
Department, University & Country	University of Sfax , Tunisia