

Review Form 2

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Book Name:               | Economics and Entrepreneurship                                |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_BPR_3336.12  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | THE ROLE OF INCUBATION CENTRES AS AGENTS FOR SOCIAL INCLUSION |
| Type of the Article      | Book chapter  |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | I found this article to be highly insightful and valuable, as it effectively highlights the challenges faced by marginalized groups in entrepreneurship and the critical role of incubation centers in fostering inclusive economic growth.   |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  | I found it appropriate even though there are many more variables added  |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | The abstract could be more comprehensive by incorporating specific findings or recommendations, which would provide a clearer overview of the article's contributions to the topic of inclusive entrepreneurship.   |   |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, as they logically organize the content and facilitate a clear understanding of the key themes and findings related to inclusive entrepreneurship.  |   |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness through its thorough literature review, which encompasses a wide range of relevant studies that provide a solid theoretical framework for the research. The methodologies employed are clearly articulated and appropriate for addressing the research questions, ensuring that the findings are both reliable and valid. Additionally, the inclusion of diverse perspectives from various focus groups enriches the analysis and highlights the complexities surrounding inclusive entrepreneurship. Overall, the integration of empirical evidence with theoretical insights enhances the manuscript's scientific robustness and technical soundness. |   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.<br>:  | The references in the manuscript are generally sufficient and include a mix of recent studies and foundational works in the field, which supports the research context effectively. However, to enhance the comprehensiveness of the literature review, I recommend including more recent publications from 2021 onwards, especially those focusing on the latest developments in inclusive entrepreneurship and the impact of incubation centers on marginalized communities. Adding references from journals such as the <i>Journal of Business Venturing</i> or <i>Small Business Economics</i> could provide further depth to the analysis.   |   |

Review Form 2

|   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| Minor REVISION comments   |   |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | A thorough proofreading and editing process would enhance the overall readability and academic rigor of the manuscript. |  |
| Optional/General comments   |   |  |

PART 2:

|  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

Reviewer Details:

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | Sameena Z Mir                                      |
| Department, University & Country | School of Law, Ajeenkya DY Patil University, India |