

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Economics and Entrepreneurship
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_ 3336.13
Title of the Manuscript:	The promotion of entrepreneurship as catalyst for inclusive industrialization in South Africa
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it provides a comprehensive review of the role of entrepreneurship in promoting inclusive industrialization within a South African context, offering valuable insights for policymakers, researchers, and practitioners. By addressing critical challenges—such as access to finance, regulatory barriers, and skill shortages—the study highlights barriers that, if overcome, could unleash the potential of entrepreneurship to drive economic growth and social equity. The structured analysis and use of the PRISMA framework enhance the study's rigor, making it a reliable resource for understanding the complex dynamics of entrepreneurship in developing economies. Overall, the manuscript's focus on practical recommendations and policy interventions strengthens its applicability and relevance for fostering sustainable development goals (SDGs) within the scientific and policy communities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>The title, "<i>The Promotion of Entrepreneurship as a Catalyst for Inclusive Industrialization in South Africa</i>," is suitable as it captures the main focus of the study—exploring how entrepreneurship can drive inclusive industrial growth within South Africa. However, a slightly revised title could add clarity about the systematic review aspect of the study. Here's a refined alternative:</p> <p>"Entrepreneurship as a Catalyst for Inclusive Industrialization in South Africa: A Systematic Review of Challenges and Opportunities."</p> <p>This version emphasizes both the systematic review methodology and the study's dual focus on challenges and opportunities, providing a clear overview for potential readers.</p>	

Review Form 2

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is generally comprehensive, covering the study's objectives, methodology, key findings, and recommendations. However, a few adjustments could improve clarity and focus:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">Add Context: Briefly mention the scope of the systematic review (e.g., time frame, databases used) to give readers a clear idea of the study's breadth and rigor without needing to reference the full text.Clarify Key Findings: While the abstract mentions the challenges (access to finance, regulatory barriers, etc.), it could also succinctly summarize the identified opportunities (policy interventions, capacity-building, stakeholder collaborations) to balance the presentation of findings.Conciseness: Consider slightly reducing the section that introduces the study's significance by combining statements on economic growth, job creation, and innovation, as they are interrelated. This would allow more space for concrete findings and recommendations.	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The manuscript's structure is well-organized and generally effective for presenting a systematic review. It follows a logical flow, starting with the Abstract, moving through Introduction, Problem Statement, Objectives, Methodology, and Key Themes in Literature, followed by detailed sections on Challenges to Entrepreneurship, Opportunities for Enhancing Entrepreneurship, Conclusion, and Recommendations. This structure makes it easy for readers to follow the development of ideas and understand the study's comprehensive approach.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound, primarily due to its use of a systematic literature review methodology, guided by the PRISMA framework. This approach ensures that the literature is collected, analyzed, and synthesized in a rigorous and transparent manner, enhancing the reliability of the findings. Additionally, the manuscript's focus on both challenges and opportunities provides a balanced view, making its conclusions relevant and comprehensive for policymakers and stakeholders interested in entrepreneurship and industrialization. By incorporating a range of academic sources and recent data, the study offers well-supported insights into South Africa's entrepreneurial landscape, supporting its validity and contribution to the field.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>:</p>	<p>The manuscript includes a substantial number of references, covering a range of studies on entrepreneurship, economic development, and industrialization, which supports its scientific credibility. Many references are recent (published within the past 5-10 years), particularly those focused on challenges such as regulatory barriers, skills shortages, and market access issues in South Africa. This recency is appropriate for capturing the current state of the entrepreneurial landscape in South Africa.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication. The manuscript is well-structured, with clear and concise language that effectively conveys complex ideas related to entrepreneurship and industrialization. The terminology used aligns with academic standards, and the sentences are structured to maintain clarity and coherence, which is important for readers in the scholarly community.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 2

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tahir Hussain Ansari
Department, University & Country	Integral University, India