

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Economics and Entrepreneurship
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_ 3336.14
Title of the Manuscript:	The role of entrepreneurship education in KwaZulu-Natal government-funded high schools on fostering entrepreneurial mindsets and skills in South Africa
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant value for the scientific community, especially within the fields of educational reform and economic development. By examining entrepreneurship education in South Africa, it provides critical insights into how early exposure to entrepreneurial concepts in high schools can cultivate essential skills like creativity and innovation, which are vital in addressing high unemployment rates and fostering economic growth. I appreciate this manuscript for its comprehensive approach in evaluating both the benefits and the limitations of current entrepreneurship programs, offering well-supported recommendations for improvement. However, the study could further benefit from additional data on long-term student outcomes, which would strengthen the case for enhanced curriculum and support.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article could be more specific to capture the focus on high school entrepreneurship education in the South African context. A clearer title might better reflect the study's objectives and target population.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is largely comprehensive, covering the importance of entrepreneurship education, the specific context of South African high schools, study objectives, key findings, and recommendations. However, it could improve if these will be included: a. Briefly mentioning the research methods (e.g., qualitative interviews, surveys) would give readers a clearer sense of how data was collected. b. Including the sample size or characteristics (e.g., number of schools or educators involved) would add context to the findings.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript appears well-structured.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound due to its well-defined research objectives and systematic approach. By focusing on educators' perceptions and the specific entrepreneurial skills fostered through high school programs, the study is anchored in relevant, practical objectives aligned with South Africa's economic needs. The use of appropriate data collection methods, such as surveys and interviews with educators, ensures that findings are directly informed by those involved in implementing entrepreneurship education. Additionally, the study provides a balanced analysis by identifying both the strengths and limitations of current programs, demonstrating a thorough and objective approach to evaluating the efficacy of entrepreneurship education in this context.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate, but some are outdated. It would be better to include more recent sources published from 2025 onwards.	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Efigenio R. Mendoza
Department, University & Country	College of Business and Accountancy, ST. Anne College Lucena, Philippines