

[Review Form 3](#)

Book Name:	Economics and Entrepreneurship
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3336.15
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF ENTREPRENEURIAL PASSION AND CREATIVITY ON ENTREPRENEURIAL INTENTIONS OF STUDENTS AT A HISTORICALLY BLACK UNIVERSITY
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it contributes to the growing body of knowledge on entrepreneurial intentions, particularly within the context of Historically Black Institutions (HBIs) in South Africa. By examining the role of entrepreneurial passion and creativity in shaping students' entrepreneurial intentions, the study provides empirical evidence that can inform educational policies and curriculum development aimed at fostering entrepreneurship among university students. Furthermore, the findings offer valuable insights for policymakers, educators, and entrepreneurship support organizations in addressing graduate unemployment and enhancing entrepreneurial activity in middle-income countries. The study's use of established theoretical frameworks and quantitative methods also strengthens its contribution to the broader discourse on entrepreneurship education and economic development.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title is suitable for an academic article but may not be ideal for a book chapter. Book chapter titles should be broader and more engaging. Suggested Alternative Title: "Entrepreneurial Passion, Creativity, and Intentions: Insights from Students at Historically Black Institutions in South Africa"	

[Review Form 3](#)

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The current abstract is well-structured for a journal article but may need some modifications for a book chapter. Book chapters typically require a more engaging and narrative-style introduction, and a broader discussion of the study's implications.</p> <p>Suggested Additions: Contextual Background: Expand the introduction slightly to provide more global or regional context on youth unemployment and entrepreneurship. Theoretical Contribution: Briefly highlight how the study advances existing theories beyond just stating them. Practical Implications: Include a statement on how the findings can be applied in real-world educational and policy settings. Future Research Directions: A brief mention of areas for further exploration would make the chapter more forward-looking.</p> <p>Suggested Deletions: The mention of COVID-19 protocols regarding data collection is unnecessary in a book chapter unless it directly impacts the study's findings. The specific statistical tests (e.g., t-test, ANOVA, regression) can be summarized without excessive methodological details, as book chapters focus more on insights and implications rather than detailed methodology.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>The study is scientifically sound and follows appropriate theoretical frameworks (Theory of Planned Behavior, Entrepreneurial Event Theory, and Entrepreneurial Passion Theory).</p> <p>The research design and methodology are clearly explained, and the statistical analyses (t-tests, ANOVA, regression) are correctly applied.</p> <p>However, some explanations of statistical results could be clearer, especially in discussing the practical implications of regression coefficients.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The manuscript contains a good number of references, covering both foundational and recent literature.</p> <p>However, there are some references are outdated (e.g., pre-2010 sources)</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript is well-written, but some sentences are lengthy and could be more concise.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Some sentences are too long and complex, making readability difficult. Simplifying and breaking down ideas would improve clarity.</p> <p>The manuscript presents a valuable contribution to understanding entrepreneurial intentions in South African HBIs. However, language refinements, clearer practical implications, and reduced similarity are necessary to enhance the quality of the manuscript.</p>	

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nurul Ezaili Alias
Department, University & Country	University Teknologi MARA, Malaysia