

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Economics and Entrepreneurship
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_ 3336.1
Title of the Manuscript:	ACHIEVING FINANCIAL WELLNESS THROUGH FINANCIAL LITERACY AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS IN CAPE TOWN
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it sheds light on the critical role of financial literacy in shaping the financial wellness of university students, particularly in the context of South Africa. By exploring the intersections of financial knowledge, attitudes, behaviors, and self-efficacy, the study contributes valuable insights into the gaps in students' financial understanding and their impact on financial well-being.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article seems comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust because it uses a suitable approach to analyze financial literacy's impact on wellness, supported by data from 163 respondents.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It would be beneficial to include more relevant and recent literature in the discussion and add them to the references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communications. However, some minor revisions could enhance clarity and the overall flow of the text.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 2

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gyanendra Prasad Paudel
Department, University & Country	National College, Tribhuvan University, Nepal