

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Economics and Entrepreneurship
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_ 3336.3
Title of the Manuscript:	COPING MECHANISMS OF FEMALE AGRIpreneurs in response to climate change-induced food system disruptions
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author tried to touch upon a very important and relevant area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The contents of the manuscript are unable to justify the title and objective of the paper. But on the bases of objective the title selection is fine and acceptable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There are clear discrepancies in the abstract and the paper as abstract discuss in brief about the coping strategy which is nowhere to be found in the paper.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Review of literature section is missing	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are also not sufficient.	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor corrections are required	
Optional/General comments	<div>1. Introduction Section</div> <p>The study objective was to identify coping strategies adopted by female agripreneurs in the face of food system discrepancies caused by climate change. However, in the introduction section, only one thing is discussed, which is the impact of climate on agriculture. Other relevant areas of the paper, such as food system discrepancies as the problem, women agripreneurs and coping strategies, are not discussed anywhere in the introduction section.</p> <div>2. Reasons for rejection.</div> <p>According to my evaluation, the manuscript should be rejected mainly because the methodology adopted by the author to achieve the objective is not sound and failed to achieve the objective of the study.</p> <p>Firstly, the paper focuses on the identification of coping strategies adopted by female agripreneurs for discrepancies caused by climate change. However, in methodology, analysis, and discussion, the author has not mentioned even a single coping strategy, ultimately failing to achieve the objective of the study.</p> <p>Second, the dependent variable is “ownership of the business”, the selection of which is not argued and justified and for the purpose of achieving the objective selection of “ownership of the business” as the dependent variable is irrelevant and unnecessary. Due to this particular reason, the whole study</p> <p>Finally, the paper used observed discrepancies within the food system as explanatory variables, which again does not help in any way in achieving the objective of the study.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 2](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Adnan
Department, University & Country	HNB Garhwal University, India