

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Economics and Entrepreneurship
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ BPR_ 3336.5
Title of the Manuscript:	ENHANCING PERFORMANCE IN CAPE TOWN’S SMME SECTOR BY OUTSOURCING ACCOUNTING STRATEGY
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the economical actual context SMME should find cost reduction solution to be competitive, Overheads costs are a sensitive area. This manuscript is providing good long term solution, and is bringing to our attention benefits.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	ENHANCING PERFORMANCE IN CAPE TOWN'S SMME SECTOR BY OUTSOURCING ACCOUNTING FUNCTIONS	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	-	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required	First of all an approipriate methotology was used. Second data used was in accordance with the research, companies that outsourced their accounting function. On third place area is limited, it was good to have more respondents.	

Review Form 2

for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. - Minor REVISION comments	All good	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes!	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Irina Gavriluc
Department, University & Country	Alexandru Ioan Cuza University, Romania