|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Book Name: | **Contemporary Issues in Business and Management** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_3337.5** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **The Role of University Education in Promoting Entrepreneurship in South Africa: A Case of Durban University of Technology Student Entrepreneurs** |
| Type of the Article | **Book Chapter** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Review Comments | | |
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **This manuscript addresses a critical issue in the South African context: the role of university education in fostering entrepreneurship amid high unemployment rates. Its exploration of the relationship between entrepreneurship education and business success is particularly relevant, as it highlights the potential of educational institutions to drive economic growth and innovation. Furthermore, the mixed-methods approach enhances the robustness of the findings, providing valuable insights into both quantitative and qualitative aspects of student entrepreneurship. Overall, this manuscript contributes significantly to the existing literature on entrepreneurship education and offers practical recommendations that could influence policy and educational practices, making it a valuable resource for researchers and educators alike.** |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **The title of the article, "The Role of University Education in Promoting Entrepreneurship in South Africa: A Case of Durban University of Technology Student Entrepreneurs," is suitable as it clearly conveys the main focus of the study.** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **The abstract provides a good overview of the study's objectives, methodology, and key findings. However, it could benefit from some enhancements for clarity and completeness. Here are my suggestions:**  **Suggestions for Improvement:**   1. **Clarify the Research Objectives:**    * **Explicitly state the main research question or hypothesis at the beginning to provide context.** 2. **Highlight Key Findings:**    * **Summarize the most significant results more explicitly, such as specific statistics or insights that demonstrate the impact of entrepreneurship education.** 3. **Implications:**    * **Briefly mention the practical implications of the findings for educational institutions and policymakers to emphasize the study's relevance.** 4. **Keywords:**    * **Consider adding a few more keywords related to the methodology or specific aspects of entrepreneurship education to improve search ability.** |  |
| **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** | **The manuscript's subsections and overall structure appear to be appropriate for conveying the research effectively. Here are some observations:**  **Positive Aspects:**   1. **Logical Flow: The manuscript follows a clear progression from the introduction to the literature review, methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion. This logical flow aids in reader comprehension.** 2. **Subsections: The use of subsections within major sections (e.g., literature review, methodology) helps organize content and allows readers to navigate the document easily.** 3. **Clear Headings: Clearly labeled headings enhance readability and allow readers to quickly locate specific information.**   **Suggestions for Improvement:**   1. **More Detailed Subsections: Consider breaking down larger sections (like the literature review) into smaller subsections to cover specific themes or theories more thoroughly. This would enhance clarity and depth.** 2. **Methodology Section: Ensure that the methodology section includes detailed descriptions of data collection and analysis techniques, as well as justifications for the chosen methods.** 3. **Discussion Section: It could be beneficial to add subsections in the discussion to differentiate between theoretical implications, practical applications, and limitations of the study.** |  |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its rigorous methodology, employing a mixed-methods approach that combines quantitative and qualitative data. The use of SPSS for statistical analysis ensures that the findings are grounded in solid empirical evidence, allowing for reliable interpretations of the data. Additionally, the integration of the theory of planned behavior provides a strong theoretical framework that supports the research objectives and enhances the validity of the conclusions drawn. Overall, the thoroughness in data collection, analysis, and theoretical grounding contributes to the manuscript's technical soundness and credibility within the field of entrepreneurship education.** |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.**  **-** | **The references in the manuscript appear to be generally sufficient, covering key studies related to entrepreneurship education and its impact. However, it is important to ensure that the majority of the references are recent, ideally published within the last five years, to reflect the latest research and trends in the field.** |  |
| Minor REVISION commentsIs the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication, but there may be areas for improvement. Here are some observations:  **Positive Aspects:**   1. **Clarity**: The manuscript effectively communicates complex ideas in a clear and understandable manner, which is essential for academic writing. 2. **Formal Tone**: The use of a formal academic tone is appropriate for the target audience.   **Suggestions for Improvement:**   1. **Grammar and Syntax**: A thorough proofreading is recommended to identify and correct any grammatical errors or awkward phrasing that may detract from the overall professionalism of the manuscript. 2. **Vocabulary**: While the vocabulary is generally appropriate, incorporating more varied terminology could enhance the richness of the text. 3. **Conciseness**: Some sentences may benefit from being more concise. Removing redundant phrases can improve readability. |  |
| Optional/General comments | Here are some optional general comments regarding the manuscript:   1. **Relevance and Timeliness**: The topic of entrepreneurship education in the context of South Africa is highly relevant, especially given the current economic challenges. This research could contribute significantly to discussions on educational reform and economic development. 2. **Engagement with Literature**: The manuscript demonstrates a solid engagement with existing literature, but expanding the literature review to include more recent studies would strengthen the theoretical foundation and contextualize the findings within broader trends. 3. **Practical Implications**: The recommendations provided in the discussion section are valuable. However, offering more specific examples or case studies from other institutions could enhance the applicability of these suggestions. 4. **Visual Aids**: Consider incorporating visual aids such as charts or graphs to present key data findings. This would enhance reader comprehension and engagement. 5. **Future Research Directions**: Including a section on future research directions could provide valuable insights for other scholars interested in this field, highlighting gaps in the current research and potential areas for exploration.   Overall, the manuscript presents a promising contribution to the field of entrepreneurship education, and with some refinements, it could have a significant impact on both academic and practical fronts. |  |
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