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| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The manuscript "Digital Leadership Characteristics: A Systematic Literature Review" is important for the scientific community because of its exhaustive synthesis of available literature on digital leadership, creating a valuable base for subsequent studies in this fast-changing arena. Not only does it highlight characteristics of effective digital leaders but also identify areas of gaps in literature that provide a pathway for further exploration and innovation. I appreciate this manuscript due to its rigorous methodology and findings that are relevant to answering the urgent need for effective leadership in the digital age. Overall, it brings crucial insights that can be applied for both academic research and in practical organizational settings. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The title "Digital Leadership Characteristics: A Systematic Literature Review" is concise, effective and appropriate for the study. It conveys both the main topic (digital leadership characteristics) and the research method (systematic literature review) effectively. This title will help readers quickly understand that the chapter focuses on identifying and analyzing traits of digital leadership based on a comprehensive literature review. |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract of the chapter appears to be somewhat comprehensive but could benefit from further detail.  **Recommendations:**  Incorporate Objective: Clearly state the purpose of the review at the beginning.  Include Methodology: Mention briefly, the systematic approach and also the criteria for selecting the literature.  Highlight Key Findings: Summarize the main characteristics of digital leadership identified.  Discuss Implications: Add a sentence about the implications of the findings for practice or future research.  These additions will make the paper clearer and give a more complete picture of the contributions of the manuscript. |  |
| **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** | Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate as they provide a logical flow and comprehensive coverage of digital leadership characteristics, facilitating reader understanding and engagement. |  |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This manuscript has its scientific robustness and sound techniques because of the approach, which is systematic enough regarding the review of literature pertinent to the characteristics of digital leadership. It applies some pretty rigorous methodologies in spotting and analyzing and synthesizing such studies to gain complete clarity on the subject being described. The incorporation of multivarious sources enriches the validity of such a finding, while such straightforward presentation of results further elucidates meaningful interpretations with due implications. Summing up, the manuscript offers some meaningful contributions to the field. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.**  **-** | The references in the manuscript appear to be sufficient and up-to-date and are therefore genuinely supporting the study. |  |
| Minor REVISION commentsIs the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, language and quality of English are very good for scholarly communication of the chapter. The writing is very clear, coherent and professional and conveys complex ideas as well as concepts of digital leadership very effectively. However, careful proofreading would enhance further clarity and rectify minor issues on grammar and style ensuring the manuscript meets the highest academic standards. |  |
| Optional/General comments | The Chapter, "DIGITAL LEADERSHIP CHARACTERISTICS: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW," contributes critically to the knowledge about change in the digital era in all its aspects of characteristics and attributes of digital leadership. |  |
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