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| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The work offers a thorough analysis of the qualities necessary for digital leadership, which is extremely pertinent to the current state of digital transformation. The methodical approach used provides insightful information about leadership qualities that are essential for managing and navigating digital transformation. For scholars and professionals looking to comprehend or cultivate successful digital leadership, this study is crucial. The manuscript offers a distinctive contribution to the discipline because of its emphasis on combining traits from several studies. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The manuscript's focus on identifying and assessing traits of digital leadership through a methodical literature review is appropriately and accurately reflected in the title. No modifications are recommended. |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract effectively summarizes the key objectives, methodology, and findings of the study. It could be further improved by mentioning the specific databases used and the selection criteria in brief to give readers a clearer overview of the study’s scope. |  |
| **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** | The introduction, methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion sections are all clearly defined in this logically organized manuscript. Every paragraph makes sense and adds to a thorough comprehension of the subject. In this sense, no adjustments are required. |  |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The work complies with systematic literature review guidelines, including the use of reliable databases and precise selection criteria, and is scientifically sound. The analysis is both technically accurate and pertinent since it offers a solid synthesis of the leadership traits required for digital transformation. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.**  **-** | The references are adequate and primarily current, encompassing both classic and modern works on digital leadership. To make sure the literature is up to date, it could be advantageous to include more recent research that were published after 2021. |  |
| Minor REVISION commentsIs the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language is clear and suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical checks and enhancements to improve readability are suggested . |  |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript is well-organized and provides valuable insights into digital leadership characteristics essential for navigating digital transformation. It makes a significant contribution by synthesizing existing literature on this topic, which is beneficial for both academic and practical applications. However, incorporating a more recent selection of studies from 2022 onwards could enhance the relevance of the findings. Additionally, a few minor language adjustments could further improve readability. |  |
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