

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Recent Developments in Chemistry and Biochemistry Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3409
Title of the Manuscript:	Green Supports for Heterogeneous Photocatalysts and their application in Wastewater Remediation
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The book chapter contributes to the acquisition of new knowledge, stimulates intellectual dialogue and opens new research directions. Water pollution was, is and will be a topic of maximum importance for the population. The current trend is to identify and analyze ecological products used in different techniques, and this paper discusses ecological photocatalysts.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of article is suitable and and expresses the essence of the content.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The summary gives a general presentation of the content and highlights the purpose of the work, but I would analyze the next sentence : "To reap more knowledge on the context, information pertaining to the use of water in domestic and industrial sectors, the amount of wastewater generated due to various industrial processes, different techniques adopted for the treatment of wastewater and its limitations are reviewed."  What is the information about the use of water in the domestic sector?	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Being an introductory chapter, its structure is consistent with the content.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a topic of great relevance and with a multitude of openings for research. Modern wastewater treatment techniques have gained great importance recently and have attracted numerous researches. The identification of new ecological materials, and their use in different wastewater treatment techniques, is a topic that can be a starting point for future research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I think that the references from the last 8 years, maximum, would be of interest, because they contain the latest researches in the field. Also, I think there are too many references for a single chapter.	

[Review Form 2](#)

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Yes, the English language/quality of the article is suitable for academic communications</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>From a scientific point of view, the manuscript can be a starting point for future research and can contribute to combating water pollution.</b>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Cristina-Andreea Tudor</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Romanian Naval Academy "Mircecel Batran", Romania</b>