

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3553
Title of the Manuscript:	Multiple paragangliomas involving carotid body and vagal region: A sporadic case
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is of good quality and presents a truly rare case report as the incidence is very low of such cases. It provides a very important insight into the plethora of manifestations of paragangliomas in different sites and their clinical significance along with their radiological correlation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is appropriate and provides appropriate insight into the subject material of the topic succinctly.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is of good quality and presents a truly rare case report as the incidence is very low of such cases. It provides a very important insight into the plethora of manifestations of paragangliomas in different sites and their clinical significance along with their radiological correlation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	References need to be updated as recent referencing are lacking.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is appropriate. Though few typographical errors needs to be corrected at few places.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	With the minor changes and addition of the recent references and replacement of better HD pics of radiographhs, to the best of my knowledge, the manuscript can be accepted for publication in your esteemed journal	

[Review Form 2](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Arnav Kr. Roychoudhury</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences &amp; Research, India</b>