

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3602
Title of the Manuscript:	Ten Years Post Bilateral TKR with LBA – Physiotherapy Can Help?
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript's original research technique, which offers fresh insights and analytical tools in this field of study, is what makes it significant to the scientific community. Introduction and content and methodology, treatment part, discussion are well written.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work is trustworthy from a scientific standpoint. Its unique and creative research style offers a fresh perspective on the area. In the meantime, the study's data collecting and analysis procedures adhered to scientific guidelines to guarantee the precision and reliability of the findings. Furthermore, the study's self-directed learning orchestration model is well-thought-out and capable of boosting students' confidence and inventiveness, which raises the program's overall efficacy.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references sufficient and recent	

[Review Form 2](#)

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Recommended for acceptance</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Niraj Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Shri Guru Ram Rai School of Paramedical &amp; Allied Health Sciences, Shri Guru Ram Rai University, India</b>