

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3619
Title of the Manuscript:	Case Notification of Cutaneous Leishmaniasis at Different Elevations in the North-Central Ethiopia from 2018 To 2022
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :		

[Review Form2](#)

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u>comments</p>	<p>Abstract</p> <p>The selected abstract is good in presenting the conclusion and findings and a more focused and direct concern on the public health significance of the findings would have made the abstract to be more impactful.</p> <p>As an addition, specific recommendation based on the study results can be provided in the conclusion section of the abstract although enhancing usefulness of the abstract may be helpful for policymakers.</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>The background given in the introduction is adequate, however it would be even more helpful to point out how the presented research results can be useful for regional or global control of leishmaniasis.</p> <p>The socio-economic factors and the changes in the environment were mentioned as playing a role in CL incidence; the use of updated statistics from around the world would be more useful.</p> <p>Materials and Methods</p> <p>The methods are presented very comprehensively, which is laudable; however, to increase the credibility of data management, it would be helpful to indicate the details of the photographs of the data taken from the logbooks.</p> <p>The categorization of elevation is obvious nice and suitable with the objective of the study; nonetheless a simplistic explanation about why these ranges were used would be beneficial.</p> <p>Results</p> <p>The CL cases are described in terms of demography; it would be interesting and potentially clinically meaningful to detail the sizes of the lesions associated with CL in male and female patients as well as therapeutic outcomes in males and females.</p> <p>It is well done, that the cases have been mapped by districts, but the visualization of areas of high risk could potentially be helpful in the form of heat map, to better understand the findings.</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>The comparison between results and other studies is done well, Nevertheless, a special section with possible interventions or Algeria recommendations for narrowing gender gaps in CL management would be useful.</p> <p>Pointing out how the COVID-19 pandemic and civil unrest might have affected the patient flow adds to the importance of healthcare operational resilience, elaborating on the mentioned challenges within these periods would have been beneficial.</p> <p>General Comments</p> <p>It may be useful to reduce the number of comparisons in studies where the nature of comparison can be repeatedly presented throughout the manuscript.</p>	

[Review Form2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fawad Khan
Department, University & Country	Abdul Wali Khan University, Pakistan