Book Name:	[Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science](#)
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BPR_3619**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Case Notification of Cutaneous Leishmaniasis at Different Elevations in the North-Central Ethiopia from 2018 To 2022**
Type of the Article	**Book chapter**

==PART 1:== **Review Comments**

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback**(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it provides an in-depth analysis of cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) in North-Central Ethiopia, a region with limited studies on the subject. Understanding the geographic and demographic distribution of CL is crucial for designing effective public health interventions.** **The focus on altitude-based disease prevalence is particularly noteworthy and adds value to the existing body of knowledge on leishmaniasis epidemiology.** **Despite its importance, the manuscript needs clarification in several areas to strengthen its contribution and ensure reliability.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	**The title is appropriate and accurately reflects the content and scope of the manuscript. No changes are necessary.**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**The abstract is generally well-written and provides a good overview of the study. However: Specify the diagnostic methods used, such as whether Giemsa staining was applied or if samples were cultured on NNN or RPMI 1640 media.**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**The manuscript's subsections and structure are logical and appropriate for the study's objectives.**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**Clarify discrepancies in patient numbers (573 reported vs. 572 in age classification and 571 in gender classification).** **Include a discussion on why case numbers were higher in 2019 and 2021, potentially addressing factors such as population mobility, environmental changes, or the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.** **A recommended source:https://www.jidc.org/index.php/journal/article/view/33956663**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. **-**	**The references are sufficient but could benefit from more recent studies discussing CL diagnostic methods and trends in other endemic regions.**	

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is generally clear and suitable for scholarly communication but could benefit from minor grammatical revisions to improve flow and readability.	
Optional/Generalcomments	The manuscript provides valuable data, but integrating a broader discussion on socio-environmental factors influencing CL distribution would significantly strengthen its impact.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Serhat Sirekbasan**
Department, University & Country	**Çankırı Karatekin University, Turkey**