

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3620
Title of the Manuscript:	Access and Barriers to Education: A Comparative Analysis of Attitudes and Perceptions of Ethnic Minorities Living in Georgia
Type of the Article	BOOK CHAPTER

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research developed in chapter has the following contributions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies key barriers, such as language and lack of resources, that affect access to education for ethnic minorities in Georgia. Evaluates government advances, such as the implementation of bilingual education programs, and their limitations in closing the educational gap. Provides recommendations to strengthen language support and promote an inclusive and equitable educational environment. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is clear and relevant, but could be improved to emphasize key aspects of the study, such as temporal comparison and educational implications. Some suggestions would be: "Access and Barriers to Education: A Longitudinal Analysis of Ethnic Minorities' Perceptions in Georgia" (2018–2024)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It could be specified in the objective of the study: Include a more direct initial sentence that connects the purpose of the study with the global problem of educational equity. Methodological detail: Briefly specify how expert interviews complemented the longitudinal analysis	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Based on mixed methodologies (documentary research and interviews), it ensures a comprehensive and contextualized analysis of the data. Compares longitudinal data (2018 and 2024) to evaluate trends and changes, increasing its relevance and rigor. Focuses on a critical social problem with solid empirical evidence and practical proposals, contributing to the educational equity agenda. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, they are sufficient	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality corresponds to academic communication. In general, correct scientific language is used, just pay attention to some grammatical errors marked as comments on the text.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martha Silvia Torres Hidalgo
Department, University & Country	Benemerita Universidad Autonoma De Puebla, Mexico