

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3620
Title of the Manuscript:	Access and Barriers to Education: A Comparative Analysis of Attitudes and Perceptions of Ethnic Minorities Living in Georgia
Type of the Article	BOOK CHAPTER

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The analysis in the manuscript helped in solving human problem particularly the ethnic minorities in Georgia. The researcher reviewed previous work done on this issue to ascertain the gap the work wants to fill in this field, but it is short of reviewed literature in this study area. Regardless, it is a comparative analysis with work done in 2018, it is needful to bring in other related works in this area to be reviewed. The statistics is clearly stated.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is a little bit wordy, I would prefer the title to be; A Comparative Analysis of Attitudes and Perceptions of Ethnic Minorities Living in Georgia. The problems the ethnic minorities face in Georgia should be incorporated in the introduction.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well detailed that is the what, why and how of the study is delivered.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	In research, after the introduction, the Georgia context, research problem, research questions, theoretical framework, methodology, data presentation and analysis and conclusion.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientific because it investigates data by means of controlled and empirically verifiable observations, and with reference to some general theory in education. It is sound and robust because it deals with recent issues bedeviling educational sector in 21 st century.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is sufficient but with few recent ones,	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communications,	
Optional/General comments	It is a good work but the sub-headings in the analysis should relate to the research questions as well as answer them. In addition, the questions you asked the stakeholders should relate to the research questions and find solution to them.	

[Review Form 2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patricia Eze
Department, University & Country	Kaduna State University, Nigeria