Review Form2

Book Name:	Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BPR_3638**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Male Pelvic Congestion and Erectile Dysfunction Obscure Reasons for an Obvious Phenomenon among the Young**
Type of the Article	**Book chapter**

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback***(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study addresses an interesting and underexplored topic but needs to strengthen its methodology, research and presentation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**ok**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**Results are not well explained in abtract**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**Yes**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness by exploring an under-researched area of male pelvic congestion and its link to erectile dysfunction (ED) using a novel combination of colon clear and cupping therapy. The study builds on established physiological principles, such as the role of nitric oxide (NO) and inflammatory cytokines in ED, to propose and test a treatment method. However, the manuscript would benefit from a more rigorous statistical analysis and clearer presentation of baseline data to strengthen its scientific soundness further.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, need to explore latest references to writedown precise methodology and result section	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	English language is ok except some minor mistakes	
Optional/Generalcomments	Need to rewrite and improve methodology and result section	

Review Form2

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Rajendra Dev Bhatt**
Department, University & Country	**Kathmandu University School of Medical Sciences, Nepal**