Review Form2

Book Name:	Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3641
Title of the Manuscript:	Why Do Physicians Diagnose Gout in Young Adults with Perfect Kidney Function!!
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(H part in the manuscript his/her feedback here
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Although gout is a very old disease and exists for thousands of years. Because the topic is very old, so the extensive availability of literature stimulates the search for novel notion related to gout.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically sound. The topic is notably in need for more research to dig deeper into understanding of gout pathogenesis. So, I agree with considering the topic is robust	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No	
Optional/Generalcomments	I made corrections for the word and grammar, and marked by the rad coloration.	

(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ipt. It is mandatory that authors should write . re)

Review Form2

PART 2:

		Author's comment(if highlight that part in the write his/her feedback
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdelrazak Mansour Ali
Department, University & Country	Al-Azhar University, USA

(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should ck here)