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| PART 1: Review Comments | | |
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback*(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The manuscript titled **"Impacts of Stress, Emotions, and Personality Traits on Psychosomatic Diseases"** is of importance to the scientific community because it explores the complex interactions between psychological factors and physical health, specifically focusing on psychosomatic diseases like asthma and cardiovascular conditions. It provides a review of 29 studies, offering valuable insights into the predictive roles of stress, and personality traits on disease onset, treatment compliance, and recurrence.However, there are some major issues that should be addressed to improve the quality of the article. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | I have some reservations regarding the accuracy of the article's title. In the section discussing emotions, the authors focus on psychological disorders such as depression and anxiety rather than emotions themselves. To address this discrepancy, the section could either be thoroughly revised to include a literature review specifically centered on emotions, or the title should be adjusted to better reflect the content—such as replacing "emotions" with terms like "psychological states" or "mental health." |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | My feedback here aligns with the comments in the previous question—there is an issue with the use of the term "emotions." |  |
| **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** | Overall, the subsections and structure are well-organized. However, I would like to once again highlight the concern regarding the use of the term "emotions." |  |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The manuscript raises concerns about its scientific rigor and technical accuracy due to several notable limitations:   * **Improper use of terminology**: Terms like "negative personality traits" are used inaccurately, as there are no inherently negative personality traits. Additionally, the sentence "Personality traits refer to a person's attitude towards reality and the corresponding habitual behavior patterns" lacks proper citations and does not provide an accurate or universally accepted definition. Similarly, the term "sound personality" is used incorrectly and requires clarification. * **Misuse of "emotions"**: In the section on emotions and psychosomatic disorders, the term "emotions" is interchangeably used with "moods" and "psychological disorders," which are distinct concepts. This creates conceptual confusion and undermines the scientific precision of the discussion. * **Unclear relationships**: In the subsection on personality traits and asthma, the discussion includes self-efficacy without explaining its connection to personality traits, leaving the relationship ambiguous. Similarly, in the subsection on personality traits and cardiovascular diseases, alexithymia is discussed as a personality trait, which is scientifically incorrect as it is a distinct psychological condition. * **Lack of proper citations**: Key terms like "stress," "emotions," and "personality traits" are described without appropriate references, which weakens the manuscript's credibility. * **Inconsistent terminology**: Personality traits are inconsistently labeled, with terms like "curiosity" used for openness and "nervousness" for neuroticism. These substitutions are inaccurate and misleading. Additionally, "Category D character" is referenced as a personality trait, which is not strictly correct. * **Contradictions in the conclusion**: The conclusion section states that previous studies are predominantly cross-sectional, which limits causal interpretations. However, the manuscript cites several longitudinal studies, making this claim contradictory. The limitations section should be revised to align with the evidence presented. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.**  **-** | The references are relatively recent, but they should be reassessed in light of my previous comments to ensure they align with the points discussed and address the identified issues. |  |
| Minor REVISION commentsIs the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The quality of English is not appropriate for scholarly communication, as there are numerous sentences that are unclear or difficult to understand. Additionally, the manuscript contains grammatical and spelling errors. Terms like "personality traits" should either be consistently capitalized or follow a uniform style throughout the article to maintain clarity. |  |
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