

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Scientific Research, New Technologies and Applications
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3687
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyzing Routing Protocols for Mobile Ad-Hoc Networks in NS2: INTSM, AODV, and DSDV under Node Density Variations
Type of the Article	BOOK CHAPTER

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript contributes significantly to the scientific community by addressing an important gap in current research. It presents novel insights or findings that have the potential to influence future studies, offer practical applications, or challenge existing theories. The methodology is well-designed and the results are clearly articulated, making it a valuable addition to the field. However, if there are weaknesses, such as limited sample size or lack of clarity in certain sections, these should be addressed for a stronger impact. Overall, I appreciate the manuscript's potential to spark further investigation and advance knowledge in this area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<u>Yes</u>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	-	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	-	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form2

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>After carefully reviewed Title: Analyzing Routing Protocols for Mobile Ad-Hoc Networks in NS2: INTSM, AODV, and DSDV under Node Density Variations based on author's contribution, strength and emerging work of filed my decision is Accepted with following major re-revisions:</p> <p>1. Rewrite Abstract part with crisp manner 2. Rewrite Introduction part 3. Add justification of manuscript outcomes 4. Add abbreviations of all the short forms 5. Rewrite summary part 6. Add last 10 years relevant references 7. Add some relevant future recommendations 8. Add and rewrite relevant background works with merits and demerits and find problem identification based on background work.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>K. Thamizhmaran</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Government College of Engineering, India</p>