Review Form2

Book Name:	An Overview of Literature, Language and Education Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BPR_3717**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Concept mapping as a Pedagogical tool in Differentiated Teaching Practice for Students with Learning Disabilities**
Type of the Article	**Book chapter**

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback***(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses a gap in research on teaching mechanics to students with learning disabilities, particularly in Greece. It provides valuable insights into the effectiveness of conceptual mapping as a teaching tool, highlighting its impact on student performance and achievement. However, it also points out the limitations of this method in engaging students' interest in certain concepts, such as energy. I appreciate this manuscript for its thorough experimental design and its contribution to improving educational practices for students with learning disabilities, though it could benefit from exploring additional strategies to enhance student engagement.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	**Yes**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is quite comprehensive and provides a clear overview of the study. However, here are a few suggestions to enhance its clarity and impact: 1. Clarify the Research Gap: Emphasize the lack of research on teaching mechanics to students with learning disabilities in Greece right at the beginning to highlight the study's significance. 2. Specify the Methodology: Briefly mention the sample size and any specific tools or methods used for data collection and analysis. 3. Highlight Key Findings: Summarize the main findings more succinctly, focusing on the improvement in student performance and the limitations regarding student interest. 4. Implications and Future Research: Clearly state the implications for teaching practices and suggest specific areas for future research.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**Yes**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically well-constructed and technically sound for several reasons. Firstly, it addresses a clear research gap by focusing on the teaching of mechanics to students with learning disabilities in Greece, an area with limited prior studies. The experimental design, which includes both an experimental group using conceptual mapping and a control group without it, allows for a comparative analysis of teaching methods. Additionally, the use of pre- and post-instruction tests provides measurable data on student performance and learning outcomes. The detailed recording and analysis of student questions further enrich the study by offering insights into student engagement and interest. Overall, the methodology is well-structured, and the findings are supported by comprehensive data analysis, making the study a valuable contribution to educational research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	**None at all**	

Review Form2

-		
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	yes	
Optional/Generalcomments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Nilda V. San Miguel**
Department, University & Country	**Lumban Central Elementary School, Philippines**