|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Book Name: | **Innovative Solutions: A Systematic Approach Towards Sustainable Future** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_BPR\_3724.18** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Extraction of Low Power Configurable Multiclock Digital System from RTL to GDSII** |
| Type of the Article | **Complete Book chapter** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Review Comments | | |
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **Important.**  A common serial communication protocol used in many applications is UART. In UART receivers, this research aims to increase the accuracy and reliability of the received data. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **Slight change in title.**  Design of Low Power Configurable Multiclock Digital System from RTL to GDSII |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Explain how the system was designed and the programming languages ​​it supports. |  |
| **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** | There is a confusion in the address numbering and the symbols are not unified. |  |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **These systems already exist and can be developed.**  **There is upcoming published research close to the manuscript work.** The research can be developed and implemented practically. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.**  **-** |  |  |
| Minor REVISION commentsIs the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Weakness |  |
| Optional/General comments | 1- The number of images in the manuscript is very small and not clear in accuracy.  2- The programs that the researcher worked with and their codes must be mentioned.  3- The sources must be mentioned, so that they are not less than 30 sources and that they have been published in recent years.  4- The arrangement of the title and symbols.  5- Attention to clear and transparent language. |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |
| Reviewer Details: | | |
| Name: | **Ahmed Abdul-Kadhem Salih** | |
| Department, University & Country | **University of The-Qar, Iraq** | |