

Review Form 2

Book Name:	<b><u>Innovative Solutions: A Systematic Approach Towards Sustainable Future</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BPR_3724.4</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Microbial study of Borassus flabellifer L. in the conservation and preservation of Palm Leaves- Tala patra Manuscripts</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book chapter</b>

PART 1: Review Comments

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b>	<b>Author’s Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Microbial studies of B. flabellifer manuscripts leaf helps for the preservation and conservation of original palm leaves from the deterioration of fungi, bacteria and viruses.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes, suitable	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	In methodology section, the name and percentage composition of agar and stains used, can mention in detail.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes, appropriate	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Microbial studies of B. flabellifer manuscripts leaves offer valuable insights about the microbial activity of different organisms on palm leaves and helps to know the leaf-microbe interactions and its preservation for future generations	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> =	1. Huerta-Espino et al. (2006) mentioned in surface sterilization of leaves sample is not entered in <b>reference section</b> .  2. References can be used in one format. (eg.Vancouver). References 3, 4 in different format	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	1. Mention the name of the <b>stain</b> used and its composition in results section. 2. Can mention the published month, year of Manual “ Fungi Identification” 3. Fig 6 can be labelled (which is fusarium; which is Ganoderma) 4. In results section, can add more points regarding the features of Fusarium and Ganoderma butt 5. Spelling mistake in Figure 3 “Bacteria” 6. In Colony characteristics, u can add the source “Subject to copyright” 7.	

Review Form 2

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. Ramesh
Department, University & Country	KMCH Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, India