

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Current Progress in Arts and Social Studies Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3731
Title of the Manuscript:	FLAVOURS OF INDIAN COOKHOUSE
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is valuable for the scientific community as it offers a socio-historical analysis of Indian cuisine, focusing on the role of spices in shaping flavors and contributing to health. It bridges culinary arts and traditional medicine while exploring regional cuisines and their diversity. The interdisciplinary approach is appreciated, but a deeper discussion of sources and contemporary research could further strengthen its academic impact.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "Flavours of Indian Cookhouse" reflects the manuscript's focus on Indian cuisine but could better represent its socio-historical and interdisciplinary approach. A more fitting title could be: "Indian Cuisine: A Historical and Culinary Exploration of Flavors and Spices". This revised title emphasizes the manuscript's broad scope, historical and cultural focus, and its attention to spices and flavors.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article provides a good overview of the manuscript, emphasizing the socio-historical evolution of Indian cuisine, the role of spices, and their culinary and medicinal significance. check spellings: species?	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript's subsections and structure are generally appropriate, covering historical phases, spice profiles, regional cuisines, and cultural significance. However,the manuscript could be improved by organizing it better, such as combining related topics like health benefits and Ayurveda into one clear section.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound, providing accurate descriptions of spices and their historical and cultural significance. It incorporates well-researched details about spice composition, uses, and influence on Indian cuisine. The integration of scientific and traditional knowledge enhances its credibility. Minor improvements in source citations and elaboration on health benefits could strengthen its scientific rigor further.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are relevant but could be improved by adding recent studies, especially on the health benefits and modern applications of spices. Adding recent works on phytochemicals or culinary trends is recommended.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, some areas may benefit from minor revisions to improve clarity and flow. Overall, the manuscript is understandable and appropriately formal for scientific discourse.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 2

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roshina Bano
Department, University & Country	Aligarh Muslim University, India