

[Review Form2](#)

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Book Name:               | <a href="#">Disease and Health: Research Developments</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_BPR_3755   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Origin of Viruses and Somatic Diseases                |
| Type of the Article      | Book chapter  |

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | This article is a good addition to the already published research literature and would serve as an other source for the information regarding the subject matter   |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  | The title could be little bit more explanatory and specific instead it looks general statement. The origin, pathogenesis and potential therapeutic uses of viruses   |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | Yes  |   |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | The grammatical errors should be clearly corrected before moving to the publication.   |   |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The nature of the topic demands separate headings of the subject matter i.e. digested forms with repeated references could make it better. In addition to that the number of references cited seems less. The topic could be more elaborated as far as the pathogenesis was concerned and then the comparison tables would serve the purpose. The placement of tables and flowcharts needs a review. |   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   | No, there should be more references  |   |

**Review Form2**

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Minor REVISION comments  |  |  |
| <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b> | Yes. But could be definitely improved. |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments   |  |  |

**PART 2:**

|   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Fatima Sarwar</b>  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Institute of Microbiology, University of Agriculture, Pakistan</b> |