## **Review Form 2**

Book Name:	Geography, Earth Science and Environment: Research Highlights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3756
Title of the Manuscript:	Landfill leachate treatment using single and mixed freshwater cyanobacterial isolates
Type of the Article	Book chapter

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is good and have novality in treatment of pollution. Author can further explore the use cyanobacteria in treatment of leachate. This work is also open gate for further research in this field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is good, concise and academic	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is ok	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is fulfill the scientific community standards. The use of cyanobacteria for leachate treatment is proved as encouraging technique. I think, the proposed method for leachate treatment is applicable at large scale.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Refrences are sufficient but too old. I prefer to use recent references ( 2020 to date)	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is good.	
Optional/General comments	I mentioned some points in article. Please check them.	

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that
		part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Muhammad Mukheed
Department, University & Country	Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 2 (08-07-2024)