

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Geography, Earth Science and Environment: Research Highlights
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3756
Title of the Manuscript:	Landfill leachate treatment using single and mixed freshwater cyanobacterial isolates
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this manuscript because it contributes to scientific knowledge in the field of environment and sustainability by utilizing microorganisms as an effort to reduce the impact of leachate in landfills.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable, but I give you an alternative title: "Comparison of the effects of utilizing single and mixed freshwater cyanobacterial isolates for landfill leachate treatment"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	In my opinion, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are usually adjusted to the template of the journal where we submit the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The truth of the research related to the data obtained from the research results is sourced from the researchers themselves. after conducting experiments through lab tests and others related to their research. readers or reviewers only compare the results of previous research with the novelty of the research obtained by comparing the microorganisms used or with the methods used.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Yes it is	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rona Reski
Department, University & Country	Indonesia