

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Chemical and Materials Sciences: Developments and Innovations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3757
Title of the Manuscript:	Selection of corrosion inhibitors for carbon dioxide (CO ₂)
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very educative in the field of corrosion engineering because of the regular use of CO ₂ in our food and oil industries. It will be additional literature base for researchers in corrosion engineering.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title can be slightly modified as "Selection of inhibitors for carbon dioxide (CO ₂) corrosion"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I suggest that some figures and values of major findings be reflected in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Some the subsections in section 4 needs to be reviewed and improved.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is scientifically correct because of the economic and safety issues due damages by corrosion. The writeup was able to give some chemical equations of reactions taking place in the facilities and the condition necessary for the reactions to occur.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are in order.	
Minor REVISION comments	It is okay.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	1. Some of the chemical equations need to be written correctly and scientifically. 2. Label all the figures and plates for easy understanding.	

[Review Form 2](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Laminu Shettima Kuburi
Department, University & Country	Ahmadu Bello University, Nigeria