

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Instructional Technology Partner in Learning
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3766
Title of the Manuscript:	Instructional Technology Partner in Learning
Type of the Article	Complete Book

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This Book's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/book-benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript " Instructional Technology: Partner in Learning " is significant for the scientific and educational community as it addresses the pressing need for integrating technology into classrooms, particularly in developing nations. I appreciate the depth of analysis and the inclusion of reflective questions, which promote critical thinking and professional development among educators. However, the extensive content can be overwhelming, and its dense text structure might deter engagement. Including more visuals and case studies would enhance its accessibility and impact.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title " Instructional Technology: Partner in Learning " is suitable as it effectively conveys the main theme of the book, emphasizing the role of instructional technology in enhancing learning processes. It is concise, clear, and highlights the collaborative aspect of technology as a tool for education.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract in this book chapter is good enough. However, you should not add reference sources to the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and manuscript structure are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The book chapter appears scientific as it exhibits key features of a strong scientific work, such as logical structure, use of evidence, and reproducibility of findings. Its reliance on established methodologies and alignment with current knowledge in the field further enhance its credibility.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references used should be up-to-date. We recommend using the most recent references from the last ten years.	

[Review Form 2](#)

Minor REVISION comments	The quality of English is excellent enough. However, we recommend sending this book chapter back to the proofreader before publication.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The manuscript for this book chapter is suitable for publication. However, the author should improve on the suggestions made.	

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Novri Gazali
Department, University & Country	Universitas Islam Riau, Indonesia