

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Advanced Sterile Compounding: Current Standards
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3781
Title of the Manuscript:	Advanced Sterile Compounding: Current Standards
Type of the Article	COMPLETE BOOK

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This abstract highlights the critical role of sterile compounding in ensuring safe and effective medications under aseptic conditions. It emphasizes the need for accurate preparation, compliance with regulations, and regular policy reviews. By analyzing microbial data and competency results, organizations can identify and address procedural gaps. This ensures patient safety and maintains high-quality pharmaceutical care.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is found to be good kindly include the sterile used in the last 2 lines of abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Include preferences and supporting file for all the conclusion statements in cleaning and garbing area. Show the practical outputs with theory concepts.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Include more recent papers	
Minor REVISION comments	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pallavi M O
Department, University & Country	VTU, India